arXiv:1408.1645v2 [math-ph] 26 Sep 2014

Pure quasifree states of the Dirac field from the fermionic projector

Christopher J. Fewster* and Benjamin Lang†
Department of Mathematics, University of York,
Heslington, York YO10 5DD, U.K.

September 29, 2014

We consider the quantised free Dirac field on oriented and globally hyperbolic ultrastatic slab spacetimes with compact spatial section and demonstrate how a gauge invariant, pure and quasifree state on the C^*-completion of the self-dual CAR-algebra can be extracted from the fermionic projector construction of Finster and Reintjes. This state is analogous to the 'SJ-state' of the free scalar field recently discussed in the literature. We prove that this state generically fails to be Hadamard. However, we also show how a modified version of the construction, inspired by work of Brum and Fredenhagen, yields states which are Hadamard. We also relate the Hadamard condition to the finiteness of fluctuations of Wick polynomials.

1 Introduction

Quantum field theory (QFT) in Minkowski space, in most formulations, is tightly structured around the existence of a Poincaré invariant vacuum state. However, attempts to define similarly natural states in curved spacetime QFT have met with failure, and indeed there is a no-go theorem to the effect that no natural choice is possible under suitable conditions [FV12a, §6.3].

One of the main conditions of the no-go theorem is that the putative natural state should depend in a local fashion upon the spacetime geometry. This leaves open the possibility that there might be interesting states determined *nonlocally* by the geometry. Such a proposal was made recently by Afshordi, Aslanbeigi and Sorkin for the real scalar field [AAS12], under the name 'SJ-state'. In brief, the idea is to use the advanced-minus-retarded fundamental solution to determine a self-adjoint operator on the Hilbert space of square-integrable functions on spacetime, with respect to the standard volume measure. The positive part of this operator is then used to determine the two-point function of a state. One may give precise conditions under which this prescription does

*chris.fewster@york.ac.uk
†bl620@york.ac.uk

indeed yield a pure quasifree state [FV12b], which is certainly independent of any choices of coordinates. However, by explicit computation, it is known that the SJ-state has a number of unphysical aspects [FV12b; FV13]: in particular, the SJ-state on a generic ultrastatic slab with compact Cauchy surface fails to be Hadamard. Here, an ultrastatic slab is a spacetime $(a,b)\times\Sigma$ with a product metric $g = dt\otimes dt - \mathrm{pr}_2^* h$, where h is a fixed Riemannian metric on Σ and pr_2 is the projection $\mathrm{pr}_2(t,\sigma) = \sigma$. When we say that the Hadamard condition fails generically we mean, more precisely, the following: To each (Σ, h) with Σ compact, one may associate a subset $\mathcal{E}(\Sigma,h) \subset (0,\infty)$ which has Lebesgue measure zero and is known to be empty for at least some choices of (Σ,h)); the SJ state on $((a,b)\times\Sigma, g)$ is known not to be Hadamard provided $b-a \notin \mathcal{E}(\Sigma,h)$. (The result of [FV12b] leaves open the issue of whether or not the SJ state is Hadamard if $b-a \in \mathcal{E}(\Sigma,h)$.)

Brum and Fredenhagen showed, however, that the SJ prescription may be modified so as to yield Hadamard states which we call *BF-states* [BF14]. This comes at a price: the BF-states are specified not only by the spacetime geometry, but also by a smooth compactly supported function on spacetime. Nonetheless, this provides an interesting and novel construction of a class of physically acceptable states for the real scalar field.

In this paper, we consider similar questions for the free Dirac field. As we describe, there is a direct analogue of the SJ construction which is closely related to the 'fermionic projector' programme of Finster (an idea known to Finster and also noted e.g., in [BF14; FV13]; however, we give the first worked out implementation). We will call the resulting states *unsoftened FP-states* (for fermionic projector). Following the description of fermionic projectors set out in [FR14a], but adapting it so as to describe states rather than the Dirac sea (the main focus of Finster's programme), we give an explicit computation of the two-point function for the unsoftened FP-state on an ultrastatic slab, and show that it fails (generically) to be Hadamard. However, we also describe a modified prescription, inspired by that of [BF14] though somewhat different in detail, which leads to a class of FP-states, parameterised by a choice of nonnegative integrable function. If this function is smooth and compactly supported, we refer to the resulting state as a *softened FP-state*; the unsoftened FP-state results from employing a suitable characteristic function. We show that all FP-states are pure, quasifree and gauge-invariant; moreover, all softened FP-states are Hadamard. We also study the fluctuations, in a FP-state, of Wick polynomials defined relative to that state. In the unsoftened case, the fluctuations are (generically) infinite, again indicating the unphysical nature of unsoftened FP-states. The fluctuations are finite in the softened FP-states, as is always true of Hadamard states [BFK96; DHP09]. Conversely, we show that any FP-state on an ultrastatic slab spacetime that has finite fluctuations for all its Wick polynomials is necessarily Hadamard. This is an analogue of a result obtained for the scalar field in [FV13].

2 The quantised free Dirac field on ultrastatic spacetimes and slabs with compact spatial section

2.1 Globally hyperbolic and ultrastatic spacetimes

We define a *spacetime* to be a connected smooth manifold[1] M of dimension 4 equipped with a Lorentzian metric g of signature $(+,-,-,-)$ and a time-orientation $[T]$. A *globally hyperbolic spacetime* is a spacetime $(M,g,[T])$ meeting the causality condition and for

[1]For us, a *smooth manifold* is a locally Euclidean and second-countable Hausdorff space with a fixed $\mathcal{C}^\infty$-structure, hence paracompact [Lee03, Prop.2.24].

all $p, q \in M$, $J(p,q) = J^+(p) \cap J^-(q)$, which is the intersection of the causal future of p and the causal past of q, is compact. In most of the literature on globally hyperbolic spacetimes, e.g. [Pen72; HE73; O'N83; BEE96], the strong causality condition is required, however, [BS07] has shown that it is enough to merely require the causality condition in the definition of global hyperbolicity.

A spacetime $(M, g, [T])$ is called *ultrastatic* if it is of smooth product form $M = \mathbb{R} \times \Sigma$ with metric $g = dt \otimes dt - \mathrm{pr}_2^* h$, where h is a Riemannian metric on Σ and $\mathrm{pr}_2 : \mathbb{R} \times \Sigma \longrightarrow \Sigma$ denotes the projection onto the second factor. Naturally, we will always take the time-orientation such that $\partial/\partial t$ is future-directed. If M is the smooth product manifold $(a,b) \times \Sigma$, $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ with $a < b$, and h is a Riemannian metric on Σ, the spacetime $((a,b) \times \Sigma, dt \otimes dt - \mathrm{pr}_2^* h, [T])$ is said to be an *ultrastatic slab*. By [Kay78, Prop.5.2], an ultrastatic spacetime or slab is globally hyperbolic if and only if (Σ, h) is a complete Riemannian manifold, as is certainly the case by the Hopf-Rinow theorem if Σ is taken to be compact [O'N83, Cor.5.23]. Note that, in the terminology of [FR14a], our ultrastatic slabs have *finite lifetime*.

2.2 The free Dirac equation on globally hyperbolic spacetimes

For a detailed discussion of spin structures, (co)spinors, spin connections and the free Dirac equations, we refer the reader to the literature [Ger68; Ger70; Ish78; Dim82; FV02; San08; San10; Fer13]. Here, we will simply collect the results needed for our purposes.

Let $\mathbf{M} = (M, g, [T], [\Omega])$ be an oriented globally hyperbolic spacetime of dimension 4, equipped with a fixed smooth global Lorentz framing $(\varepsilon_0, \ldots, \varepsilon_3)$; that is, the ε_μ are smooth vector fields on M such that $(\varepsilon_0(x), \ldots, \varepsilon_3(x))$ is a time-oriented, oriented and g-orthonormal basis of TM_x for each $x \in M$. The dual basis of covector fields will be denoted ε^μ, so that $\varepsilon^\mu(\varepsilon_\nu) = \delta^\mu_\nu$, and of course $g = \eta_{\mu\nu}\varepsilon^\mu \otimes \varepsilon^\nu$, where $\eta_{\mu\nu}$ is the standard Minkowski metric in our signature. In this setting, spinor fields may be regarded as $\mathbb{C}^4$-valued smooth functions, i.e., elements in $\mathcal{C}^\infty\left(M, (\mathbb{C}^4)^*\right)$, while $(\mathbb{C}^4)^*$-valued smooth functions, i.e., elements in $\mathcal{C}^\infty\left(M, (\mathbb{C}^4)^*\right)$, are cospinor fields. Elements of $\mathbb{C}^4$ (resp., $(\mathbb{C}^4)^*$) will be regarded as column (resp., row) vectors. Also note that $\mathcal{C}^\infty(M, \mathbb{C}^4)$ and $\mathcal{C}^\infty\left(M, (\mathbb{C}^4)^*\right)$ can be canonically identified with the spaces of smooth cross-sections $\Gamma^\infty(\underline{\mathbb{C}^4}_M)$ and $\Gamma^\infty\left((\underline{\mathbb{C}^4}_M)^*\right)$, where $\underline{\mathbb{C}^4}_M := (M \times \mathbb{C}^4, M, \mathrm{pr}_1, \mathbb{C}^4)$ is the trivial smooth complex vector bundle over M of rank 4 and $(\underline{\mathbb{C}^4}_M)^* := \left(M \times (\mathbb{C}^4)^*, M, \mathrm{pr}_1, (\mathbb{C}^4)^*\right)$ its dual. The map $\mathrm{pr}_1 : M \times \mathbb{C}^4 \longrightarrow M$ denotes the projection onto the first factor.

We choose the Pauli realisation [BLT75, (7.31)] for the γ-matrices,

$$\gamma^0 = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\sigma_0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \gamma^i = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma_i \\ -\sigma_i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \gamma^5 = \gamma^0\gamma^1\gamma^2\gamma^3 = -\mathrm{i}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma_0 \\ \sigma_0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

with the Pauli matrices

$$\sigma_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \sigma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \sigma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \sigma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

In addition to the Clifford relations $\gamma^\mu\gamma^\nu + \gamma^\nu\gamma^\mu = 2\eta^{\mu\nu}$, we note the identities $\gamma^0\gamma^\mu\gamma^0 = (\gamma^\mu)^*$, $(\gamma^0)^* = \gamma^0$ and $(\gamma^i)^* = -\gamma^i$ ("$*$" denotes Hermitean conjugation, i.e., complex conjugation and transposition), which we will use throughout without further mention.

The free Dirac equations for spinors $f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M, \mathbb{C}^4)$ and cospinors $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty\left(M, (\mathbb{C}^4)^*\right)$ are now:

$$D^{\mathrm{sp}} f = (-\mathrm{i}\,\not{\nabla}^{\mathrm{sp}} + m) f = \left(-\mathrm{i}\,\gamma^\mu \nabla^{\mathrm{sp}}_{\varepsilon_\mu} + m\right) f = 0 \tag{1}$$

and

$$D^{\mathrm{cosp}}\varphi = \left(\mathrm{i}\not{\nabla}^{\mathrm{cosp}} + m\right)\varphi = \mathrm{i}\left(\nabla^{\mathrm{cosp}}_{\varepsilon_\mu}\varphi\right)\gamma^\mu + \varphi m = 0, \tag{2}$$

where ∇^{sp} and ∇^{cosp} are the *spin connections*, which are given by the expressions

$$\nabla^{\mathrm{sp}} f = df^A(\varepsilon_\mu)\,\varepsilon^\mu \otimes e_A + \varepsilon^\mu \otimes \Gamma_\mu f \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla^{\mathrm{cosp}}\varphi = d\varphi_A(\varepsilon_\mu)\,\varepsilon^\mu \otimes e^A - \varepsilon^\mu \otimes \varphi\,\Gamma_\mu, \tag{3}$$
$$f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M, \mathbb{C}^4),\ \varphi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty\left(M, (\mathbb{C}^4)^*\right),$$

where $\Gamma_\mu = \frac{1}{4}\Gamma^\lambda_{\mu\nu}\gamma_\lambda\gamma^\nu$, $\Gamma^\lambda_{\mu\nu}\varepsilon_\lambda = \nabla_{\varepsilon_\mu}\varepsilon_\nu$, e_A is the standard basis for $\mathbb{C}^4$ and e^A the corresponding dual basis of $(\mathbb{C}^4)^*$. Using Koszul's formula [O'N83, Thm.3.11], one can easily show $\Gamma^\nu_{\mu\nu} = 0$ (no summation!).

As usual, the *Dirac adjoint* is a complex-conjugate linear isomorphism

$$\dagger : \mathcal{C}^\infty\left(M, \mathbb{C}^4\right) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}^\infty\left(M, \left(\mathbb{C}^4\right)^*\right), \qquad f \longmapsto f^*\gamma^0. \tag{4}$$

Since it will be clear from the context whether we apply the Dirac adjoint to a spinor or its inverse to a cospinor, we will write $f^\dagger$ and $\varphi^\dagger$ for both the Dirac adjoints of $f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M, \mathbb{C}^4)$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty\left(M, (\mathbb{C}^4)^*\right)$. Observe that $(\not{\nabla}^{\mathrm{sp}} f)^\dagger = \not{\nabla}^{\mathrm{cosp}} f^\dagger$ for all $f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M, \mathbb{C}^4)$ and $(\not{\nabla}^{\mathrm{cosp}}\varphi)^\dagger = \not{\nabla}^{\mathrm{sp}}\varphi^\dagger$ for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty\left(M, (\mathbb{C}^4)^*\right)$, which readily yields $(D^{\mathrm{sp}} f)^\dagger = D^{\mathrm{cosp}} f^\dagger$ and $(D^{\mathrm{cosp}}\varphi)^\dagger = D^{\mathrm{sp}}\varphi^\dagger$.

Owing to global hyperbolicity of $\mathbf{M}$, equations (1) and (2) have well-posed Cauchy problems (see [Dim82, Thm.2.3] or [Müh11, Thm.2]), and unique retarded and advanced Green's operators (see [Dim82, Thm.2.1] or [Müh11, Thm.1]). We denote the unique retarded and advanced Green operators for spinors (resp., cospinors) by $S^{\mathrm{ret}}, S^{\mathrm{adv}}$ (resp., $C^{\mathrm{ret}}, C^{\mathrm{adv}}$).

2.3 The free Dirac equation on ultrastatic spacetimes and slabs

Let $(\Sigma, h, [\Omega])$ be an oriented, connected and compact Riemannian manifold of dimension 3. Hence, Σ is parallelisable [Sti35] and there exist oriented (with respect to $[\Omega]$) smooth global framings for the tangent bundle and by Gram-Schmidt, the existence of oriented and orthonormal (with respect to h) smooth global framings. Fix such a one, say (η_1, η_2, η_3), then define $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3$ by $\varepsilon_i(f)(t, \cdot) := \eta_i(f(t, \cdot)) \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\Sigma, \mathbb{R})$ for all $t \in (a, b)$ and for all $f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty((a, b) \times \Sigma, \mathbb{R})$, where $-\infty \leq a < b \leq \infty$.

The quadruple $\mathbf{M} = ((a, b) \times \Sigma, dt \otimes dt - \mathrm{pr}_2^* h, [\partial/\partial t], [dt \wedge \mathrm{pr}_2^* \Omega])$ is an oriented and globally hyperbolic ultrastatic spacetime (or slab, if a and b are finite) with compact spatial section and the ordered tuple $(\varepsilon_0 := \partial/\partial t, \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3)$ is a smooth global Lorentz framing by construction. We use precisely this smooth global Lorentz framing in our definition of the spin connections and from now on, we will always consider oriented and globally hyperbolic ultrastatic spacetimes or slabs $\mathbf{M}$ with spin connections obtained in the way just described.

Using the Koszul formula [O'N83, Thm.3.11] one may show that $\Gamma^\lambda_{\mu\nu}$ vanishes if μ, ν or λ is zero, which implies $\Gamma_0 = 0$ and $\Gamma_i = \frac{1}{4}\Gamma^k_{ij}\gamma_k\gamma^j$ in (3). Furthermore, Γ^k_{ij} does not depend on $t \in (a, b)$ by construction and can be regarded as a smooth function on Σ. Using the fact that $\mathcal{C}^\infty((a, b), \mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathcal{C}^\infty(\Sigma, \mathbb{C}^4)$ can be identified with a dense linear subspace of $\mathcal{C}^\infty((a, b) \times \Sigma, \mathbb{C}^4)$ in a continuous way and similar $\mathcal{C}^\infty((a, b), \mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathcal{C}^\infty\left(\Sigma, (\mathbb{C}^4)^*\right)$ can

be continuously identified with a dense linear subspace of $\mathcal{C}^\infty\left((a,b)\times\Sigma,(\mathbb{C}^4)^*\right)$, this all implies that the Dirac operator for spinors and cospinors can be written in split form:

$$D^{\mathrm{sp}} = -\,\mathrm{i}\,\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\otimes\gamma^0 + \mathbb{1}\otimes\gamma^0 H^{\mathrm{sp}} \qquad \text{and} \qquad D^{\mathrm{cosp}} = \mathrm{i}\,\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\otimes\gamma^0 + \mathbb{1}\otimes H^{\mathrm{cosp}}(\cdot)\,\gamma^0,$$

where $\mathbb{1}$ is the identity on $\mathcal{C}^\infty((a,b),\mathbb{C})$,

$$H^{\mathrm{sp}} f := -\,\mathrm{i}\,\gamma^0\gamma^i\left(\eta_i\left(f^A\right)e_A + \Gamma_i(t,\cdot)\,f\right) + m\gamma^0 f, \qquad f\in\mathcal{C}^\infty(\Sigma,\mathbb{C}^4), \tag{5}$$

and

$$H^{\mathrm{cosp}}\varphi := \mathrm{i}\left(\eta_i(\varphi_A)\,e^A - \varphi\,\Gamma_i(t,\cdot)\right)\gamma^i\gamma^0 + m\varphi\gamma^0, \qquad \varphi\in\mathcal{C}^\infty\left(\Sigma,(\mathbb{C}^4)^*\right), \tag{6}$$

with e_A and e^A as above. Recall that it does not matter which $t\in(a,b)$ is taken because of the time-independence of Γ_i. Equation (5) (resp., (6)) define H^{sp} (resp., H^{cosp}) both as a partial differential operator and also as an operator on the dense domain $\mathcal{C}^\infty(\Sigma,\mathbb{C}^4)$ (resp., $\mathcal{C}^\infty\left(\Sigma,(\mathbb{C}^4)^*\right)$ in the Hilbert space $L^2(\Sigma,\mathbb{C}^4;\mathrm{vol}_h)$ (resp., $L^2\left(\Sigma,(\mathbb{C}^4)^*;\mathrm{vol}_h\right)$) with inner product

$$\langle\cdot\,|\,\cdot\rangle_2 : \mathcal{C}^\infty\left(\Sigma,\mathbb{C}^4\right)\times\mathcal{C}^\infty\left(\Sigma,\mathbb{C}^4\right)\longrightarrow\mathbb{C}, \qquad (f,g)\longmapsto\int_\Sigma f^* g\,\mathrm{vol}_h,$$

resp.,

$$\langle\cdot\,|\,\cdot\rangle_2 : \mathcal{C}^\infty\left(\Sigma,\left(\mathbb{C}^4\right)^*\right)\times\mathcal{C}^\infty\left(\Sigma,\left(\mathbb{C}^4\right)^*\right)\longrightarrow\mathbb{C}, \qquad (\varphi,\psi)\longmapsto\int_\Sigma \psi\,\varphi^*\,\mathrm{vol}_h,$$

with the Hermitean conjugation applied pointwise in the integrands, so f^*g and $\psi\varphi^*$ are smooth functions.

Lemma 2.1. *The partial differential operators H^{sp} (resp., H^{cosp}) are elliptic, and define symmetric operators on their domains of definition.*

***Proof*:** We only prove the ellipticity statement for H^{cosp}, as the proof for H^{sp} is analogous. From (6), the principal symbol[2] of H^{cosp} is seen to be $\sigma_{H^{\mathrm{cosp}}}(\xi) = \mathrm{i}\,\xi_i\gamma^i\gamma^0$ for $\xi\in T^*\Sigma$. One easily computes the determinant $\det(\xi_i\gamma^i\gamma^0) = \left(\xi_1^2+\xi_2^2+\xi_3^2\right)^2$, which shows that $\sigma_{H^{\mathrm{cosp}}}(\xi)$ is an isomorphism of complex vector spaces for all $\xi\in T^*\Sigma$ unless $\xi = 0\in T^*\Sigma_x$ for $x\in\Sigma$.

Symmetry of the operators on the given domains (termed 'self-adjointness' in [LM89, Chap.III, §5]) follows from Stokes' theorem, given the easily proved identities

$$\left(H^{\mathrm{sp}} f\right)^* g - f^*\left(H^{\mathrm{sp}} g\right) = \mathrm{i}\,d\left(f^*\gamma^0\gamma^i g\right)(\eta_i) \qquad \forall\, f,g\in\mathcal{C}^\infty\left(\Sigma,\mathbb{C}^4\right)$$

and

$$\psi\left(H^{\mathrm{cosp}}\varphi\right)^* - \left(H^{\mathrm{cosp}}\psi\right)\varphi^* = \mathrm{i}\,d\left(\psi\,\gamma^0\gamma^i\varphi^*\right)(\eta_i) \qquad \forall\,\varphi,\psi\in\mathcal{C}^\infty\left(\Sigma,\left(\mathbb{C}^4\right)^*\right).$$

❒

[2]For a short but yet insightful introduction to linear differential operators and their principal symbols (and the notations involved) see [BGP07, Sec.A.4] and [Wal12, Sec.1.2].

Given this result, we may apply [LM89, Thm III.5.8] to conclude that the eigenvalues of H^{sp} and H^{cosp} are real, have finite multiplicity, are countably many, say $\{\lambda_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ and $\{\mu_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$, that these sets of eigenvalues are unbounded in magnitude, and that their corresponding eigenfunctions are smooth.

Once normalised with respect to $\langle\cdot \mid \cdot\rangle_2$, we denote the smooth eigenfunctions by $\{\chi_n \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\Sigma, \mathbb{C}^4)\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ and $\{\zeta_n \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\Sigma, (\mathbb{C}^4)^*)\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ and their L^2-equivalence classes furnish orthonormal bases for $L^2(\Sigma, \mathbb{C}^4; \mathrm{vol}_h)$ and $L^2(\Sigma, (\mathbb{C}^4)^*; \mathrm{vol}_h)$. As we have the identities $(H^{\mathrm{sp}} f)^\dagger = H^{\mathrm{cosp}} f^\dagger$ for all $f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\Sigma, \mathbb{C}^4)$ and $(H^{\mathrm{cosp}}\varphi)^\dagger = H^{\mathrm{sp}}\varphi^\dagger$ for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\Sigma, (\mathbb{C}^4)^*)$, H^{sp} and H^{cosp} have identical eigenvalues and, for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, the λ-eigenspace of H^{sp} is mapped bijectively to the λ-eigenspace of H^{cosp} by the Dirac adjoint, now extended to an antiunitary map from $L^2(\Sigma, \mathbb{C}^4; \mathrm{vol}_h)$ to $L^2(\Sigma, (\mathbb{C}^4)^*; \mathrm{vol}_h)$ (we also refer to the inverse as the Dirac adjoint). Hence, without loss of generality we may assume $\lambda_n = \mu_n$ and $\chi_n^\dagger = \zeta_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Indeed, we can do more: we may also assume that the the eigenvalues and smooth eigenfunctions of H^{sp} and H^{cosp} may be labelled by the set $\mathbb{Z}' := \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ so that:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\{\lambda_z\}_{z\in\mathbb{Z}'}: \quad \ldots \le \lambda_{-3} \le \lambda_{-2} \le \lambda_{-1} \le -m < 0 < m \le \lambda_1 \le \lambda_2 \le \lambda_3 \le \ldots \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_{-z} = -\lambda_z; \\
&\{\chi_z\}_{z\in\mathbb{Z}'}, \{\zeta_z\}_{z\in\mathbb{Z}'}: \quad H^{\mathrm{sp}}\chi_z = \lambda_z\chi_z, \quad H^{\mathrm{cosp}}\zeta_z = \lambda_z\zeta_z, \quad \chi_z^\dagger = \zeta_z \quad \text{and} \quad \zeta_z^\dagger = \chi_z,
\end{aligned} \tag{7}
$$

together with

$$
\langle \chi_w \mid \gamma^0\chi_z\rangle_2 = \langle \zeta_w \mid \zeta_z\gamma^0\rangle_2 = \begin{cases} \frac{m}{\lambda_z} & \text{if } z = w \\ \sqrt{1 - \frac{m^2}{\lambda_z^2}} & \text{if } z = -w \\ 0 & \text{if } z \neq \pm w \end{cases} \qquad \forall w, z \in \mathbb{Z}'. \tag{8}
$$

(The last property will be useful in Section 3.) These assumptions can be justified as follows: let $\chi, \chi' \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\Sigma, \mathbb{C}^4)$ be normalised eigenfunctions of H^{sp} with eigenvalues λ, λ'. It follows from the identity $\{H^{\mathrm{sp}}, \gamma^0\} = 2m$ and Lemma 2.1 that

$$
(\lambda + \lambda')\langle \chi \mid \gamma^0\chi'\rangle_2 = 2m\langle \chi \mid \chi'\rangle_2
$$

from which we may deduce that the eigenvalues of H^{sp} and H^{cosp} are all nonzero (as $m > 0$) and also that

$$
\langle \chi \mid \gamma^0\chi'\rangle_2 = \begin{cases} \frac{m}{\lambda}\langle \chi \mid \chi'\rangle_2 & \text{if } \lambda = \lambda' \\ 0 & \text{if } \lambda \neq \pm\lambda' \end{cases}
$$

(this is not exhaustive as the case $\lambda = -\lambda'$ is left open). Furthermore, applying Cauchy–Schwarz, $m/|\lambda|\,\|\chi\|_2^2 = |\langle \chi \mid \gamma^0\chi\rangle_2| \le \|\chi\|_2\|\gamma^0\chi\|_2 = \|\chi\|_2^2$, so we see that the spectrum does not intersect the mass gap $(-m, m)$.

Continuing with χ as above, define $\eta = \gamma^0\chi - \langle \chi \mid \gamma^0\chi\rangle_2\chi = (\gamma^0 - m/\lambda)\chi$. By construction, η is L^2-orthogonal to χ, $\langle \chi \mid \eta\rangle_2 = 0$, and so $\|\eta\|_2^2 = \|\gamma^0\chi\|_2^2 - |\langle \chi \mid \gamma^0\chi\rangle_2|^2 = 1 - m^2/\lambda^2$ by Pythagoras' theorem. Direct calculation now shows that $H^{\mathrm{sp}}\eta = -\lambda\eta$. Thus to every normalised eigenfunction χ with eigenvalue $\lambda \neq \pm m$, there is a normalised eigenfunction

$$
\tilde{\eta} = (1 - m^2/\lambda^2)^{-1/2}(\gamma^0 - m/\lambda)\chi
$$

with eigenvalue $-\lambda$. With this choice we also have

$$
\langle \tilde{\eta} \mid \gamma^0\chi\rangle_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - m^2/\lambda^2}}\left(\langle \gamma^0\chi \mid \gamma^0\chi\rangle_2 - \frac{m}{\lambda}\langle \chi \mid \gamma^0\chi\rangle_2\right) = \sqrt{1 - m^2/\lambda^2}
$$

and further direct calculation shows that $(\gamma^0 - m/\lambda)\chi$ and $(\gamma^0 - m/\lambda)\chi'$ are orthogonal if χ and χ' are orthogonal eigenfunctions. In the case $\lambda = \pm m$, the calculations above show that $\eta = 0$ and hence $\gamma^0\chi = \pm\chi$; it then holds that $\gamma^5\chi$ is a normalised eigenfunction of H^{sp} with eigenvalue $\mp m$ and $\langle \gamma^5\chi \mid \gamma^0\chi\rangle_2 = 0$ – application of γ^5 is also unitary and preserves orthogonality. Accordingly, a complete system of orthonormal eigenfunctions may be chosen obeying the conditions of (7) and (8).

2.4 Solutions of the free Dirac equations and their Hilbert spaces

We now use the results of Subsection 2.3 to solve the Dirac equations (1) and (2) on an oriented and globally hyperbolic ultrastatic spacetime (or slab) $\mathbf{M}$ with compact spatial section and spin connections as in Subsection 2.3. We will also construct Hilbert spaces from the solutions thus obtained for an ensuing CAR-quantisation. In this connection, our interest lies in all smooth solutions with smooth Cauchy data (recall that Σ is assumed to be compact). Thus, by [BG12, Thm.3.5], any solution of interest can be written in terms of the advanced-minus-retarded Green operators $S := S^{\mathrm{adv}} - S^{\mathrm{ret}}$ and $C := C^{\mathrm{adv}} - C^{\mathrm{ret}}$, i.e. as Su, $u \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(M, \mathbb{C}^4)$, and Cv, $v \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty\left(M, (\mathbb{C}^4)^*\right)$.

For each $f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M, \mathbb{C}^4)$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty\left(M, (\mathbb{C}^4)^*\right)$, $f_t := f(t, \cdot)$ and $\varphi_t := \varphi(t, \cdot)$ are smooth $\mathbb{C}^4$-valued and $(\mathbb{C}^4)^*$-valued functions and square-integrable on Σ with respect to vol_h for all $t \in (a, b)$. (We allow the possibilities $a = -\infty$ or $b = +\infty$.) Hence, we have the L^2-expansions (valid in $L^2(\Sigma, \mathbb{C}^4; \mathrm{vol}_h)$ and $L^2\left(\Sigma, (\mathbb{C}^4)^*; \mathrm{vol}_h\right)$ respectively)

$$f_t = \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}'} \langle \chi_z \mid f_t\rangle_2 \, \chi_z \qquad \text{and} \qquad \varphi_t = \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}'} \langle \zeta_z \mid \varphi_t\rangle_2 \, \zeta_z, \tag{9}$$

where $\langle \chi_z \mid f_t\rangle_2$ and $\langle \zeta_z \mid \varphi_t\rangle_2$ are smooth functions in t with first derivatives[3] $\langle \chi_z \mid \frac{\partial f}{\partial t}(t, \cdot)\rangle_2$ and $\langle \zeta_z \mid \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t}(t, \cdot)\rangle_2$.

Now suppose $\psi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M, \mathbb{C}^4)$ and $\alpha \in \mathcal{C}^\infty\left(M, (\mathbb{C}^4)^*\right)$ are solutions of the inhomogeneous Dirac equations on $\mathbf{M}$,

$$\left(-\mathrm{i}\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \otimes \gamma^0 + \mathbb{1} \otimes \gamma^0 H^{\mathrm{sp}}\right)\psi = u \qquad \text{and} \qquad \left(\mathrm{i}\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \otimes \gamma^0 + \mathbb{1} \otimes H^{\mathrm{cosp}}(\cdot)\,\gamma^0\right)\alpha = v,$$

where $u \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(M, \mathbb{C}^4)$ and $v \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty\left(M, (\mathbb{C}^4)^*\right)$, then for each $t \in (a, b)$,

$$\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t}(t, \cdot) + \mathrm{i}\, H^{\mathrm{sp}}\psi_t = \mathrm{i}\,\gamma^0 u_t \qquad \text{and} \qquad \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t}(t, \cdot) - \mathrm{i}\, H^{\mathrm{cosp}}\alpha_t = -\mathrm{i}\, v_t\gamma^0.$$

Taking the L^2-inner product with χ_w and ζ_w and using Lemma 2.1, we find

$$\frac{d}{dt}\langle \chi_w \mid \psi_t\rangle_2 + \mathrm{i}\,\lambda_w \langle \chi_w \mid \psi_t\rangle_2 = \langle \chi_w \mid \mathrm{i}\,\gamma^0 u_t\rangle_2 \tag{10}$$

and

$$\frac{d}{dt}\langle \zeta_w \mid \alpha_t\rangle_2 - \mathrm{i}\,\lambda_w \langle \zeta_w \mid \alpha_t\rangle_2 = \langle \zeta_w \mid -\mathrm{i}\, v_t\gamma^0\rangle_2 \qquad \forall\, w \in \mathbb{Z}'. \tag{11}$$

[3] If φ is smooth and Σ is compact, $\lim_{h\to 0} \frac{\varphi_{t+h}(x) - \varphi_t(x)}{h} = \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t}(t, x)$ uniformly in $x \in \Sigma$, for each $t \in (a, b)$; by compactness of Σ, φ_t is differentiable in the L^2-sense with derivative $\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t}(t, \cdot)$ and one may iterate this argument to deduce L^2-smoothness. Continuity of the L^2-inner product gives $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\langle \zeta_z \mid \varphi_t\rangle_2 = \langle \zeta_z \mid \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t}(t, \cdot)\rangle_2$. In the same way, one shows $\frac{d}{dt}\langle \chi_z \mid f_t\rangle_2 = \langle \chi_z \mid \frac{\partial f}{\partial t}(t, \cdot)\rangle_2$.

These are ordinary and inhomogeneous first order differential equations with constant coefficients for the Fourier coefficients of ψ_t and α_t. We find for the retarded and the advanced Green function and for the solutions of (10) and (11) defined by them ($z \in \mathbb{Z}'$):

$$S_z^{\mathrm{ret}}(t,t') = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } a < t \le t' < b \\ e^{\mathrm{i}\,\lambda_z(t'-t)} & \text{if } a < t' \le t < b \end{cases}, \qquad S_z^{\mathrm{adv}}(t,t') = \begin{cases} -e^{\mathrm{i}\,\lambda_z(t'-t)} & \text{if } a < t \le t' < b \\ 0 & \text{if } a < t' \le t < b \end{cases},$$

$$\left(S_z^{\mathrm{ret}} \langle \chi_z \mid \mathrm{i}\,\gamma^0 u_{t'} \rangle_2\right)(t) = \langle \chi_z \mid (S^{\mathrm{ret}} u)_t \rangle_2 = \int_a^t e^{\mathrm{i}\,\lambda_z(t'-t)} \langle \chi_z \mid \mathrm{i}\,\gamma^0 u_{t'} \rangle_2 \, dt',$$

$$\left(S_z^{\mathrm{adv}} \langle \chi_z \mid \mathrm{i}\,\gamma^0 u_{t'} \rangle_2\right)(t) = \langle \chi_z \mid \left(S^{\mathrm{adv}} u\right)_t \rangle_2 = \int_t^b -e^{\mathrm{i}\,\lambda_z(t'-t)} \langle \chi_z \mid \mathrm{i}\,\gamma^0 u_{t'} \rangle_2 \, dt'$$

and $C_z^{\mathrm{ret/adv}} = S_{-z}^{\mathrm{ret/adv}}$. From this, we conclude for $u \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(M, \mathbb{C}^4)$, $v \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty\left(M, (\mathbb{C}^4)^*\right)$ and $t \in (a,b)$ in the L^2-sense:

$$(Su)_t = \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}'} \int_a^b -e^{\mathrm{i}\,\lambda_z t'} \langle \chi_z \mid \mathrm{i}\,\gamma^0 u_{t'} \rangle_2 \, dt' \, e^{-\mathrm{i}\,\lambda_z t} \chi_z \tag{12}$$

and

$$(Cv)_t = \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}'} \int_a^b e^{-\mathrm{i}\,\lambda_z t'} \langle \zeta_z \mid \mathrm{i}\, v_{t'} \gamma^0 \rangle_2 \, dt' \, e^{\mathrm{i}\,\lambda_z t} \zeta_z. \tag{13}$$

From this one can also see that $(Su)^\dagger = Cu^\dagger$ and $(Cv)^\dagger = Sv^\dagger$ for all $u \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(M, \mathbb{C}^4)$ and for all $v \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty\left(M, (\mathbb{C}^4)^*\right)$. In addition, one sees that

$$\| (Su)_t \|^2 = \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}'} \left| \int_a^b e^{\mathrm{i}\,\lambda_z t'} \langle \chi_z \mid \mathrm{i}\,\gamma^0 u_{t'} \rangle_2 \, dt' \right|^2 \tag{14}$$

which is evidently constant in t; similar results apply to $\| (Cv)_t \|$.

The cospinor solution space $\mathcal{L}^{\mathrm{cosp}} := C\mathcal{C}_0^\infty\left(M, (\mathbb{C}^4)^*\right)$ becomes a pre-Hilbert space with the inner product $\langle \cdot \mid \cdot \rangle_{\mathrm{cosp}}$ (cf. [San08, Lem.4.2.4]):

$$\langle Cv \mid Cv' \rangle_{\mathrm{cosp}} = -\mathrm{i} \int_M Cv' \, v^\dagger \, \mathrm{vol}_{\mathbf{M}}, \qquad v, v' \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty\left(M, (\mathbb{C}^4)^*\right),$$

while $\mathcal{L}^{\mathrm{sp}} := S\mathcal{C}_0^\infty(M, \mathbb{C}^4)$ becomes a pre-Hilbert space with the inner product $\langle \cdot \mid \cdot \rangle_{\mathrm{sp}}$:

$$\langle Su \mid Su' \rangle_{\mathrm{sp}} = \mathrm{i} \int_M u^\dagger \, Su' \, \mathrm{vol}_{\mathbf{M}}, \qquad u, u' \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(M, \mathbb{C}^4). \tag{15}$$

The positivity of this inner product is established by the identity (e.g., [San08, Lem.4.2.4])

$$\langle Su \mid Su \rangle_{\mathrm{sp}} = \|(Su)_t\|_2^2, \qquad t \in (a,b),\ u \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty\left(M, \mathbb{C}^4\right). \tag{16}$$

Lemma 2.2. *An orthonormal basis for* $(\mathcal{L}^{\mathrm{sp}}, \langle \cdot \mid \cdot \rangle_{\mathrm{sp}})$ *is given by* $\{e^{-\mathrm{i}\,\lambda_z \cdot} \chi_z\}_{z \in \mathbb{Z}'}$ *and an orthonormal basis for* $(\mathcal{L}^{\mathrm{cosp}}, \langle \cdot \mid \cdot \rangle_{\mathrm{cosp}})$ *is* $\{e^{\mathrm{i}\,\lambda_z \cdot} \zeta_z\}_{z \in \mathbb{Z}'}$.

***Proof*:** First of all, we show $e^{\mathrm{i}\lambda_z\cdot}\zeta_z \in \mathcal{L}^{\mathrm{cosp}}$. To this end, let $\sigma \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty((a,b),\mathbb{R})$ have unit integral and let $w \in \mathbb{Z}'$. Then $-\mathrm{i}\,\sigma e^{\mathrm{i}\lambda_w\cdot}\zeta_w\gamma^0$ has compact support and we find from (13)

$$\begin{aligned}\left(C\left(-\mathrm{i}\,\sigma e^{\mathrm{i}\lambda_w\cdot}\zeta_w\gamma^0\right)\right)_t &= \sum_{z\in\mathbb{Z}'}\int_a^b e^{-\mathrm{i}\lambda_z t'}\langle\zeta_z \mid \sigma(t')\,e^{\mathrm{i}\lambda_w t'}\zeta_w\rangle_2\,dt'\,e^{\mathrm{i}\lambda_z t}\zeta_z = \int_a^b \sigma(t')\,dt'\,e^{\mathrm{i}\lambda_w t}\zeta_w \\ &= e^{\mathrm{i}\lambda_w t}\zeta_w,\end{aligned}$$

where the equation is to be understood in the L^2-sense. Because a smooth representative of an L^2-equivalence class is unique, we obtain the result $C(-\mathrm{i}\,\sigma e^{\mathrm{i}\lambda_w\cdot}\zeta_w\gamma^0) = e^{\mathrm{i}\lambda_w\cdot}\zeta_w$. Similarly, $S(\mathrm{i}\,\sigma e^{-\mathrm{i}\lambda_z\cdot}\gamma^0\chi_z) = e^{-\mathrm{i}\lambda_z\cdot}\chi_z$. With these results, it is not difficult to prove that $\{e^{-\mathrm{i}\lambda_z\cdot}\chi_z\}_{z\in\mathbb{Z}'}$ (resp., $\{e^{\mathrm{i}\lambda_z\cdot}\zeta_z\}_{z\in\mathbb{Z}'}$) are orthonormal systems in their appropriate spaces. We leave this to the reader and concentrate on completeness. Here, the simplest argument is to combine (16) with (14) to show that

$$\langle Su \mid Su\rangle_{\mathrm{sp}} = \sum_{z\in\mathbb{Z}'}\left|\int_a^b e^{\mathrm{i}\lambda_z t'}\langle\chi_z \mid \mathrm{i}\,\gamma^0 u_{t'}\rangle_2\,dt'\right|^2 = \sum_{z\in\mathbb{Z}'}\left|\langle e^{-\mathrm{i}\lambda_z\cdot}\chi_z \mid Su\rangle_{\mathrm{sp}}\right|^2,$$

establishing completeness and concluding the proof. ❒

Consequently, the completions of $\mathcal{L}^{\mathrm{sp}}$ with respect to $\langle\cdot\mid\cdot\rangle_{\mathrm{sp}}$ and of $\mathcal{L}^{\mathrm{cosp}}$ with respect to $\langle\cdot\mid\cdot\rangle_{\mathrm{cosp}}$ yield Hilbert spaces $(\mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{sp}},\langle\cdot\mid\cdot\rangle_{\mathrm{sp}})$ and $(\mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{cosp}},\langle\cdot\mid\cdot\rangle_{\mathrm{cosp}})$ with orthonormal bases $\{e^{-\mathrm{i}\lambda_z\cdot}\chi_z\}_{z\in\mathbb{Z}'}$ and $\{e^{\mathrm{i}\lambda_z\cdot}\zeta_z\}_{z\in\mathbb{Z}'}$. In what follows, we will consider their direct Hilbert space sum $\mathcal{H} := \mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{sp}}\oplus\mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{cosp}}$, where $\mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{cosp}} := \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\mathrm{sp}}$ and $\mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{cosp}} := \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\mathrm{cosp}}$ for the CAR-quantisation.

2.5 CAR-quantisation and reference state

The Dirac adjoint $\dagger : \mathcal{C}^\infty(M,\mathbb{C}^4) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}^\infty\left(M,(\mathbb{C}^4)^*\right)$ and its inverse (also denoted by $\dagger$) descend to well-defined antiunitary maps $\dagger : \mathcal{L}^{\mathrm{sp}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}^{\mathrm{cosp}}$ and $\dagger : \mathcal{L}^{\mathrm{cosp}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}^{\mathrm{sp}}$. Hence, we obtain an antiunitary involution of $\mathcal{L}^{\mathrm{sp}}\oplus\mathcal{L}^{\mathrm{cosp}}$ by

$$(\psi\oplus\alpha)^\dagger := \alpha^\dagger\oplus\psi^\dagger$$

Because of the involutive property, $\dagger$ is bounded with norm $\|\dagger\| = 1$ and extends to $\mathcal{H}$.

We may now form the self-dual CAR-algebra $\mathfrak{A} = \mathfrak{A}_{\mathrm{SDC}}(\mathcal{H},\langle\cdot\mid\cdot\rangle,\dagger)$, which is the unital *-algebra generated by the elements of the form $B(\psi\oplus\alpha)$ and their conjugates $B(\psi\oplus\alpha)^*$, $\psi\oplus\alpha\in\mathcal{H}$, satisfying (see [Ara70, §2]):

(1) Linearity: for all $\lambda,\mu\in\mathbb{C}$, for all $\psi\oplus\alpha,\varphi\oplus\beta\in\mathcal{H}$,

$$B(\lambda\psi\oplus\alpha+\mu\varphi\oplus\beta) = \lambda B(\psi\oplus\alpha)+\mu B(\varphi\oplus\beta).$$

(2) CARs: for all $\psi\oplus\alpha,\varphi\oplus\beta\in\mathcal{H}$,

$$B(\psi\oplus\alpha)\,B(\varphi\oplus\beta)^* + B(\varphi\oplus\beta)^*\,B(\psi\oplus\alpha) = \langle\varphi\oplus\beta\mid\psi\oplus\alpha\rangle\cdot 1_{\mathfrak{A}}.$$

(3) Hermiticity: for all $\psi\oplus\alpha\in\mathcal{H}$,

$$B(\psi\oplus\alpha)^* = B\left((\psi\oplus\alpha)^\dagger\right).$$

$\mathfrak{A}$ has a unique C^*-norm and we consider its completion $\overline{\mathfrak{A}}$ with respect to this norm. The smeared quantum Dirac spinor field is defined by $\Psi(v) := B(0 \oplus Cv)$, $v \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty\left(M, (\mathbb{C}^4)^*\right)$, and the smeared quantum Dirac cospinor field is $\Psi^\dagger(u) := B(Su \oplus 0)$, $u \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(M, \mathbb{C}^4)$. The algebra $\overline{\mathfrak{A}}$ has a $U(1)$ global gauge group of unit-preserving $*$-automorphisms determined by $\eta_\lambda B(\psi \oplus \alpha) = B\left((\lambda\psi) \oplus (\overline{\lambda}\alpha)\right)$, where $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, $|\lambda| = 1$.

We now construct our reference (Hadamard) state $\omega_0 : \overline{\mathfrak{A}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Introducing

$$\kappa_z^\pm(t,x) := e^{-\mathrm{i}\lambda_{\pm z}t}\chi_{\pm z}(x), \qquad z \in \mathbb{Z},$$

so $\kappa_z^- = \kappa_{-z}^+$ for all $z \in \mathbb{Z}$, and the κ_z^+ (resp., κ_z^-) are positive (resp., negative) frequency solutions for $z \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, we define $Q^{\mathrm{sp}} : \mathcal{L}^{\mathrm{sp}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}^{\mathrm{sp}}$ to be the orthogonal projection onto the linear subspace of $\mathcal{L}^{\mathrm{sp}}$ which is spanned by $\{\kappa_z^+ \,|\, z \in \mathbb{Z}^+\}$ (positive frequency spinor solutions), i.e.

$$Q^{\mathrm{sp}}\psi = \sum_{z\in\mathbb{Z}^+} \langle \kappa_z^+ \,|\, \psi\rangle_{\mathrm{sp}}\, \kappa_z^+, \qquad \psi \in \mathcal{L}^{\mathrm{sp}},$$

where $\mathbb{Z}^+ := \{z \in \mathbb{Z} \,|\, z > 0\}$, and extend continuously to $\mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{sp}}$. Similarly, we define $Q^{\mathrm{cosp}} : \mathcal{L}^{\mathrm{cosp}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}^{\mathrm{cosp}}$ to be the orthogonal projection onto the linear subspace of $\mathcal{L}^{\mathrm{cosp}}$ which is spanned by $\{e^{\mathrm{i}\lambda_z t}\zeta_z \,|\, z \in \mathbb{Z}^-\}$ (positive frequency cospinor solutions), i.e.

$$Q^{\mathrm{cosp}}\alpha = \sum_{z\in\mathbb{Z}^-} \langle \kappa_z^{+\dagger} \,|\, \psi\rangle_{\mathrm{cosp}}\, \kappa_z^{+\dagger} = \sum_{z\in\mathbb{Z}^+} \langle \kappa_z^{-\dagger} \,|\, \psi\rangle_{\mathrm{cosp}}\, \kappa_z^{-\dagger}, \qquad \psi \in \mathcal{L}^{\mathrm{sp}},$$

where $\mathbb{Z}^- := \{z \in \mathbb{Z} \,|\, z < 0\}$, and extend continuously to $\mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{cosp}}$. Observe the relations $Q^{\mathrm{sp}} = \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{sp}}} - \dagger Q^{\mathrm{cosp}}\dagger$ and $Q^{\mathrm{cosp}} = \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{cosp}}} - \dagger Q^{\mathrm{sp}}\dagger$. Then, $P := Q^{\mathrm{sp}} \oplus Q^{\mathrm{cosp}}$ is a projection operator on $\mathcal{H}$ and so $0 \leq P = P^* \leq 1$. It is an easy exercise now to verify that $P + \dagger P\dagger = \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{H}}$, thus P meets (3.4) and (3.5) of [Ara70]. Owing to [Ara70, Lem.3.3 & Lem.4.3], P defines a gauge invariant [PS70], pure and quasifree state ω_0 on $\overline{\mathfrak{A}}$ which is uniquely determined by [Ara70, (3.3)], that is,

$$\begin{aligned}(17)\quad \omega_0\left(B(\psi\oplus\alpha)^* B(\varphi\oplus\beta)\right) &= \langle \psi\oplus\alpha \,|\, P(\varphi\oplus\beta)\rangle \qquad \forall \psi\oplus\alpha, \varphi\oplus\beta \in \mathcal{H}.\\ &= \langle \psi \,|\, Q^{\mathrm{sp}}\varphi\rangle_{\mathrm{sp}} + \langle \alpha \,|\, Q^{\mathrm{cosp}}\beta\rangle_{\mathrm{cosp}}\end{aligned}$$

Here, gauge invariance means that $\omega_0 \circ \eta_\lambda = \omega_0$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, $|\lambda| = 1$, and is manifest from the preceding expression. The state ω_0 is Hadamard [SV00; DH06] and the associated Wightman two-point distribution can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}(18)\qquad & W_0^{(2)}\left[(u\oplus v)\otimes(u'\oplus v')\right]\\ &:= \omega_0\left(B(Su\oplus Cv)\, B(Su'\oplus Cv')\right)\\ &= \omega_0\left(B\left(Sv^\dagger \oplus Cu^\dagger\right)^* B(Su'\oplus Cv')\right)\\ &= \langle Sv^\dagger \,|\, Q^{\mathrm{sp}} Su'\rangle_{\mathrm{sp}} + \langle Cu^\dagger \,|\, Q^{\mathrm{cosp}} Cv'\rangle_{\mathrm{cosp}}\\ &= \sum_{z\in\mathbb{Z}^+} \langle Sv^\dagger \,|\, \kappa_z^+\rangle_{\mathrm{sp}} \langle \kappa_z^+ \,|\, Su'\rangle_{\mathrm{sp}} + \sum_{z\in\mathbb{Z}^+} \langle Cu^\dagger \,|\, \kappa_z^{-\dagger}\rangle_{\mathrm{cosp}} \langle \kappa_z^{-\dagger} \,|\, Cv'\rangle_{\mathrm{cosp}}\\ &= \sum_{z\in\mathbb{Z}^+} \int_M \int_M \left\{ v(t,x)\, \kappa_z^+(t,x)\, \kappa_z^+(t',x')^\dagger\, u'(t',x') \right\} \mathrm{vol}_{\mathbf{M}}\, \mathrm{vol}'_{\mathbf{M}}\\ &\quad + \sum_{z\in\mathbb{Z}^+} \int_M \int_M \left\{ \kappa_z^-(t,x)^\dagger\, u(t,x)\, v'(t',x')\, \kappa_z^-(t',x') \right\} \mathrm{vol}_{\mathbf{M}}\, \mathrm{vol}'_{\mathbf{M}}\end{aligned}$$

$$u, u' \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(M, \mathbb{C}^4),\ v, v' \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty\left(M, (\mathbb{C}^4)^*\right).$$

In terms of the eigenfunctions χ_z and ζ_z, this reads

$$W_0^{(2)}\left[(u\oplus v)\otimes(u'\oplus v')\right] \tag{19}$$
$$= \sum_{z\in\mathbb{Z}^+} \int_M \int_M e^{-\mathrm{i}\,\lambda_z(t-t')} v\,(t,x)\,\chi_z\,(x)\,\zeta_z\,(x')\,u'\,(t',x')\,\mathrm{vol}_{\mathbf{M}}\,\mathrm{vol}'_{\mathbf{M}}$$
$$+ \sum_{z\in\mathbb{Z}^-} \int_M \int_M e^{\mathrm{i}\,\lambda_z(t-t')} \zeta_z\,(x)\,u\,(t,x)\,v'\,(t',x')\,\chi_z\,(x')\,\mathrm{vol}_{\mathbf{M}}\,\mathrm{vol}'_{\mathbf{M}},$$
$$u,u'\in\mathcal{C}_0^\infty\,(M,\mathbb{C}^4),\ v,v'\in\mathcal{C}_0^\infty\left(M,(\mathbb{C}^4)^*\right).$$

Using the reference state, we can determine whether or not any other state is Hadamard, by whether or not the difference of their Wightman two-point distributions is smooth. For this purpose, it is useful to use the fact that $Q^{\mathrm{cosp}} = \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{cosp}}} - \dagger Q^{\mathrm{sp}} \dagger$ to write

$$W_0^{(2)}\left[(u\oplus v)\otimes(u'\oplus v')\right] = \langle Sv^\dagger \mid Q^{\mathrm{sp}} Su'\rangle_{\mathrm{sp}} - \langle Sv'^\dagger \mid Q^{\mathrm{sp}} Su\rangle_{\mathrm{sp}} + \langle Cu^\dagger \mid Cv'\rangle_{\mathrm{cosp}}. \tag{20}$$

3 FP-states on globally hyperbolic ultrastatic slabs with compact spatial sections

From now on, we focus on the situation for oriented and globally hyperbolic ultrastatic slabs with compact spatial section and spin connections as constructed in Subsection 2.3, i.e. $a,b\in\mathbb{R}$ are now taken such that $-\infty < a < b < \infty$. In this section, we will show how the fermionic projector description of [FR14a] gives rise to a gauge invariant, pure and quasifree state on the C^*-completion $\overline{\mathfrak{A}}$ of the self-dual CAR-algebra $\mathfrak{A} = \mathfrak{A}_{\mathrm{SDC}}\,(\mathcal{H},\langle\cdot\mid\cdot\rangle,\dagger)$ for the quantised free Dirac field (see Subsection 2.5) on such a spacetime $\mathbf{M}$. We will call this state the *unsoftened FP-state*. Proceeding in the spirit of [BF14], we regard the unsoftened FP-state as a special case within a class of FP-states, parameterised by a nonnegative integrable function $f\in L^1(\mathbb{R})$. Within this class, states obtained from smooth compactly supported f will be described as *softened FP-states*, while the unsoftened FP-state corresponds to taking f as the characteristic function of (a,b). Our main objectives are to show that the unsoftened FP-state does not have the Hadamard property in general, but that the softened FP-states are all Hadamard.

To begin, let $\mathbf{N}$ be the oriented and globally hyperbolic ultrastatic spacetime with exactly the same compact spatial section and spin connections as $\mathbf{M}$ [but with underlying manifold $N = \mathbb{R}\times\Sigma$]. By extension with zero, any $u\in\mathcal{C}_0^\infty\,(M,\mathbb{C}^4)$ can also be regarded as a smooth and compactly supported $\mathbb{C}^4$-valued function on N. In this regard, $\tilde{\psi} = S_{\mathbf{N}}u\in\mathcal{L}^{\mathrm{sp}}_{\mathbf{N}}$ constitutes the unique solution of (1) on N which coincides with the unique solution of (1) on M, $\psi = Su\in\mathcal{L}^{\mathrm{sp}}$. Formulae or objects relating to $\mathbf{N}$ will be denoted using a subscript '$\mathbf{N}$'; otherwise $\mathbf{M}$ is to be understood.

Let $f\in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ be an integrable nonnegative function. Typically we will have in mind that f is either the characteristic function $\chi_{(a,b)}$ of (a,b) (which will yield the unsoftened FP-state) or a compactly supported smooth function (which will yield the softened FP-states). As f is integrable, it has a Fourier transform, for which we adopt the nonstandard convention

$$\hat{f}\,(\lambda) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} f e^{\mathrm{i}\,\lambda t} dt\,.$$

Essential to our construction is the non-degenerate Hermitean sesquilinear form

$$<\cdot\,|\,\cdot>_{\mathrm{FP}_f} : \mathcal{L}^{\mathrm{sp}}\times\mathcal{L}^{\mathrm{sp}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}, \qquad (\psi,\varphi)\longmapsto \int_N f\,\tilde{\psi}^\dagger\,\tilde{\varphi}\,\mathrm{vol}_{\mathbf{N}},$$

which reduces to the form studied in [FR14a], in the case where f is the characteristic function $\chi_{(a,b)}$ of (a,b). Using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and (12),

$$\begin{aligned}|<\psi \mid \varphi>_{\mathrm{FP}_f}| &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} f\,|\langle\tilde{\psi}_t \mid \gamma^0\tilde{\varphi}_t\rangle_2|\,dt \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} f\,\|\tilde{\psi}_t\|_2\,\|\tilde{\varphi}_t\|_2\,dt = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f\,\|\tilde{\psi}\|^{\mathrm{sp}}_{\mathbf{N}}\,\|\tilde{\varphi}\|^{\mathrm{sp}}_{\mathbf{N}}\,dt \\ &\leq \hat{f}\,(0)\,\|\psi\|_{\mathrm{sp}}\,\|\varphi\|_{\mathrm{sp}} \qquad\qquad \forall \psi,\varphi \in \mathcal{L}^{\mathrm{sp}},\end{aligned}$$

where we have used (16) to give $\|\tilde{\psi}_t\|_2 = \|\tilde{\psi}\|^{\mathrm{sp}}_{\mathbf{N}}$. Thus, $<\cdot\mid\cdot>_{\mathrm{FP}_f}$ is continuous and by [BB03, Thm.20.2.1], there is a unique self-adjoint bounded operator $A_f : \mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{sp}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{sp}}$ satisfying the identity $\langle\psi \mid A_f\varphi\rangle_{\mathrm{sp}} = <\psi \mid \varphi>_{\mathrm{FP}_f}$ for all $\psi,\varphi \in \mathcal{L}^{\mathrm{sp}}$.

Our construction proceeds by defining Q^{sp}_f to be the spectral projection $\chi_{\mathbb{R}^+}(A_f)$ and using this to construct a state ω_{FP_f} by analogy with the construction of the reference state ω_0 in terms of Q^{sp}. This state will be known as the FP_f-state; if f is the characteristic function of the interval (a,b), we refer to ω_{FP_f} as the unsoftened FP-state for the ultrastatic slab $\mathbf{M}$, while if f is smooth and compactly supported, we describe ω_{FP_f} as a softened FP-state. The definition of Q^{sp}_f is suggested by the constructions of [FR14a], although we emphasise that Q^{sp}_f is not itself the fermionic projector, which is closely related to the complementary spectral projection $\chi_{\mathbb{R}^-}(A_f)$.

The first step is to compute the action of A_f on elements of $\mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{sp}}$. We realise that

$$\begin{aligned}\langle\kappa^+_w \mid A_f\kappa^+_z\rangle_{\mathrm{sp}} = <\kappa^+_w \mid \kappa^+_z>_{\mathrm{FP}_f} &= \int_N f e^{\mathrm{i}\lambda_w t}e^{-\mathrm{i}\lambda_z t}\chi^\dagger_w\,\chi_z\,\mathrm{vol}_{\mathbf{N}} \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} f e^{\mathrm{i}(\lambda_w-\lambda_z)t}\,\langle\chi_w \mid \gamma^0\chi_z\rangle_2\,dt \\ &= \frac{\hat{f}\,(0)\,m}{\lambda_z}\,\delta_{wz} + \hat{f}\,(2\lambda_z)\sqrt{1-\frac{m^2}{\lambda_z^2}}\,\delta_{-wz} \qquad\qquad \forall w,z \in \mathbb{Z}',\end{aligned}$$

and thus

$$\langle\kappa^+_z \mid A_f\psi\rangle_{\mathrm{sp}} = \frac{\hat{f}\,(0)\,m}{\lambda_z}\,\langle\kappa^+_z \mid \psi\rangle_{\mathrm{sp}} + \hat{f}\,(2\lambda_z)\sqrt{1-\frac{m^2}{\lambda_z^2}}\,\langle\kappa^-_z \mid \psi\rangle_{\mathrm{sp}}, \qquad z \in \mathbb{Z}',\ \psi \in \mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{sp}}.$$

We hence see that A_f acts on $\mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{sp}}$ by

$$A_f\psi = \sum_{z\in\mathbb{Z}'}\left(\frac{\hat{f}\,(0)\,m}{\lambda_z}\,\langle\kappa^+_z \mid \psi\rangle_{\mathrm{sp}} + \hat{f}\,(2\lambda_z)\sqrt{1-\frac{m^2}{\lambda_z^2}}\,\langle\kappa^-_z \mid \psi\rangle_{\mathrm{sp}}\right)\kappa^+_z. \tag{21}$$

In order to proceed with the description given in [FR14a], we need to obtain the spectral decomposition of A_f. For this purpose, it is useful to note that A_f evidently decomposes as a direct sum $A_f = \bigoplus_{z\in\mathbb{Z}^+} A_{f,z}$ with respect to the decomposition $\mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{sp}} = \bigoplus_{z\in\mathbb{Z}^+}\mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{sp}}_z$, where $\mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{sp}}_z$ is the two-dimensional space spanned by $\kappa^\pm_z$. Representing $\alpha\kappa^+_z + \beta\kappa^-_z$ by the column vector $\begin{pmatrix}\alpha & \beta\end{pmatrix}^\top$, $A_{f,z}$ takes matrix form

$$A_{f,z} = \begin{pmatrix}\dfrac{\hat{f}\,(0)\,m/\lambda_z}{\hat{f}\,(2\lambda_z)\sqrt{1-m^2/\lambda_z^2}} & \hat{f}\,(2\lambda_z)\sqrt{1-m^2/\lambda_z^2} \\ & -\hat{f}\,(0)\,m/\lambda_z\end{pmatrix} \tag{22}$$

where we have used $\lambda_{-z} = -\lambda_z$ and also the fact that f is real-valued. It is convenient to parameterise

$$A_{f,z} = \Xi_{f,z}\begin{pmatrix}\cos 2\theta_{f,z} & e^{\mathrm{i}\,\phi_{f,z}}\sin 2\theta_{f,z} \\ e^{-\mathrm{i}\,\phi_{f,z}}\sin 2\theta_{f,z} & -\cos 2\theta_{f,z}\end{pmatrix} \tag{23}$$

where

$$\Xi_{f,z} := \sqrt{\left|\hat{f}\left(2\lambda_z\right)\right|^2\left(1-\frac{m^2}{\lambda_z^2}\right)+\frac{\hat{f}\left(0\right)^2 m^2}{\lambda_z^2}} \tag{24}$$

and $\theta_{f,z} \in [-\pi/2, \pi/2)$ and $\phi_{f,z} \in [0, 2\pi)$ are uniquely determined. Note that $\Xi_{f,z} > 0$ for each $z \in \mathbb{Z}^+$; hence $\cos 2\theta_{f,z} > 0$ for $z \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ (as f is nonnegative) and so $\cos\theta_{f,z} > 1/\sqrt{2}$.

Lemma 3.1. *The spectrum of A_f is a pure point spectrum, with eigenvalues*

$$\sigma_p\left(A_f\right) = \left\{\pm\Xi_{f,z} \,\middle|\, z \in \mathbb{Z}^+\right\}. \tag{25}$$

A basis of normalised eigenvectors $\kappa^{\pm}_{f,z}$ ($z \in \mathbb{Z}^+$) is defined by

$$\kappa^{+}_{f,z} = \cos\theta_{f,z}\kappa^{+}_{z} + e^{-\mathrm{i}\,\phi_{f,z}}\sin\theta_{f,z}\kappa^{-}_{z} \tag{26}$$

$$\kappa^{-}_{f,z} = \cos\theta_{f,z}\kappa^{-}_{z} - e^{\mathrm{i}\,\phi_{f,z}}\sin\theta_{f,z}\kappa^{+}_{z}, \tag{27}$$

so that $A_f\kappa^{\pm}_{f,z} = \Xi_{f,z}\kappa^{\pm}_{f,z}$ for each $z \in \mathbb{Z}^+$.

***Proof*:** Elementary computation (e.g., using the matrix form of $A_{f,z}$) shows that the $\kappa^{\pm}_{f,z}$ are normalised eigenvectors of A_f with the stated eigenvalues. As $\kappa^{\pm}_{f,z}$ span $\mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{sp}}_z$ for each $z \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, they provide a complete orthonormal basis for $\mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{sp}}$ which demonstrates that the spectrum is pure point. ❒

With the eigenvalues and the eigenvectors found in Lemma 3.1, we have the spectral decomposition of A_f:

$$A_f = \sum_{z\in\mathbb{Z}^+, s=\pm} s\,\Xi_{f,z}\left\langle \kappa^{s}_{f,z} \,\middle|\, \cdot\right\rangle_{\mathrm{sp}} \kappa^{s}_{f,z}$$

Proceeding by analogy with the fermionic projector prescription of [FR14a], we define $Q^{\mathrm{sp}}_f = \chi_{(0,\infty)}\left(A_f\right)$, the projection onto the positive eigenspace, given by

$$Q^{\mathrm{sp}}_f = \sum_{z\in\mathbb{Z}^+}\left\langle \kappa^{+}_{f,z} \,\middle|\, \cdot\right\rangle_{\mathrm{sp}} \kappa^{+}_{f,z}.$$

Note that, if $f = \chi_{(a,b)}$ then $\kappa^{+}_{f,z} \to e^{-\mathrm{i}\,\lambda_z\cdot}\chi_z$ in the limit $a \to -\infty$, $b \to \infty$, for $z \in \mathbb{Z}^+$; one may show that $Q^{\mathrm{sp}}_f \to Q^{\mathrm{sp}}$ strongly in this limit, recovering the projection that defined the reference state.

For future reference, it is also useful to note that Q^{sp}_f and Q^{sp} both decompose as a direct sums with respect to the decomposition $\mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{sp}} = \bigoplus_{z\in\mathbb{Z}^+}\mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{sp}}_z$. The components $Q^{\mathrm{sp}}_{f,z}$ and Q^{sp}_z corresponding to $\mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{sp}}_z$ have the matrix forms

$$Q^{\mathrm{sp}}_{f,z} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos^2\theta_{f,z} & e^{\mathrm{i}\,\phi_{f,z}}\sin\theta_{f,z}\cos\theta_{f,z} \\ e^{-\mathrm{i}\,\phi_{f,z}}\sin\theta_{f,z}\cos\theta_{f,z} & \sin^2\theta_{f,z}\end{pmatrix}, \qquad Q^{\mathrm{sp}}_z = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0\end{pmatrix} \tag{28}$$

so

$$Q^{\mathrm{sp}}_{f,z} - Q^{\mathrm{sp}}_z = \begin{pmatrix} -\sin^2\theta_{f,z} & e^{\mathrm{i}\,\phi_{f,z}}\sin\theta_{f,z}\cos\theta_{f,z} \\ e^{-\mathrm{i}\,\phi_{f,z}}\sin\theta_{f,z}\cos\theta_{f,z} & \sin^2\theta_{f,z}\end{pmatrix}. \tag{29}$$

In order to construct a gauge invariant, pure and quasifree state ω_{FP_f} on $\overline{\mathfrak{A}}$, we need to 'double' Q^{sp}_f to a self-adjoint bounded operator P_{FP_f} on $\mathcal{H}$ satisfying the two conditions

[Ara70, Eqs. (3.4), (3.5)], that is, $0 \leq P^*_{\mathrm{FP}_f} = P_{\mathrm{FP}_f} \leq 1$ and $P_{\mathrm{FP}_f} + \dagger P_{\mathrm{FP}_f} \dagger = \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{H}}$. Consider the projection operator on $\mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{cosp}}$ defined by

$$Q_f^{\mathrm{cosp}} := \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{cosp}}} - \dagger Q_f^{\mathrm{sp}} \dagger = \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}^+} \left\langle \kappa_{f,z}^{-\dagger} \,\middle|\, \cdot \right\rangle_{\mathrm{cosp}} \kappa_{f,z}^{-\dagger},$$

then also $Q_f^{\mathrm{sp}} = \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{sp}}} - \dagger Q_f^{\mathrm{cosp}} \dagger$, and $P_{\mathrm{FP}_f} = Q_f^{\mathrm{sp}} \oplus Q_f^{\mathrm{cosp}} : \mathcal{H} \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}$ has the required properties. Now, [Ara70, Lem.3.3 & Lem.4.3] yield a gauge invariant, pure and quasifree state $\omega_{\mathrm{FP}_f} : \overline{\mathfrak{A}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$, the FP_f-state, uniquely determined by

$$\omega_{\mathrm{FP}_f}\left(B\left(\psi \oplus \alpha\right)^* B\left(\varphi \oplus \beta\right)\right) = \langle \psi \oplus \alpha \mid P_{\mathrm{FP}_f} \varphi \oplus \beta \rangle \qquad \forall \psi \oplus \alpha, \varphi \oplus \beta \in \mathcal{H}.$$

The associated Wightman two-point distribution can thus be written as

$$\begin{aligned} (30) \qquad & W^{(2)}_{\mathrm{FP}_f}\left[(u \oplus v) \otimes (u' \oplus v')\right] \\ & = \langle S v^\dagger \mid Q_f^{\mathrm{sp}} S u' \rangle_{\mathrm{sp}} + \langle C u^\dagger \mid Q_f^{\mathrm{cosp}} C v' \rangle_{\mathrm{cosp}} \\ & = \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}^+} \int_M \int_M \left\{ v\left(t,x\right) \kappa^+_{f,z}\left(t,x\right) \kappa^+_{f,z}\left(t',x'\right)^\dagger u'\left(t',x'\right) \right\} \mathrm{vol}_{\mathbf{M}} \, \mathrm{vol}'_{\mathbf{M}} \\ & \quad + \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}^+} \int_M \int_M \left\{ \kappa^-_{f,z}\left(t,x\right)^\dagger u\left(t,x\right) v'\left(t',x'\right) \kappa^-_{f,z}\left(t',x'\right) \right\} \mathrm{vol}_{\mathbf{M}} \, \mathrm{vol}'_{\mathbf{M}} \\ & \qquad\qquad u, u' \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty\left(M, \mathbb{C}^4\right),\, v, v' \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty\left(M, \left(\mathbb{C}^4\right)^*\right). \end{aligned}$$

As in the case of the reference state, we may also write

$$(31) \qquad W^{(2)}_{\mathrm{FP}_f}\left[(u \oplus v) \otimes (u' \oplus v')\right] = \langle S v^\dagger \mid Q_f^{\mathrm{sp}} S u' \rangle_{\mathrm{sp}} - \langle S v'^\dagger \mid Q_f^{\mathrm{sp}} S u \rangle_{\mathrm{sp}} + \langle C u^\dagger \mid C v' \rangle_{\mathrm{cosp}}.$$

3.1 The unsoftened FP-state is not Hadamard

We can now establish that the unsoftened FP-state cannot be a Hadamard state in general, proceeding along similar lines to [FV12b]. As mentioned at the end of Section 2.5, we can determine whether or not a state is Hadamard by whether or not its two-point distribution differs from that of the reference state by a distribution that amounts to integration against a smooth function. At this point, it is requisite to specify what is to be understood exactly by "*integration against a smooth function*": to be precise, ω_{FP_f} is Hadamard if and only if there exists a smooth function $k \in \mathcal{C}^\infty\left(M \times M, \left[\mathbb{C}^4 \oplus \left(\mathbb{C}^4\right)^*\right] \otimes \left[\mathbb{C}^4 \oplus \left(\mathbb{C}^4\right)^*\right]\right)$ such that

$$(32) \qquad \left(W^{(2)}_{\mathrm{FP}_f} - W^{(2)}_0\right)[\sigma] = \int_{M \times M} k^* \sigma \, \mathrm{vol}_{\mathbf{M}\times\mathbf{M}} \qquad \forall \sigma \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty\left(M \times M, \left[\mathbb{C}^4 \oplus \left(\mathbb{C}^4\right)^*\right] \otimes \left[\mathbb{C}^4 \oplus \left(\mathbb{C}^4\right)^*\right]\right),$$

where "$*$" denotes Hermitean conjugation as before.

Since $\mathcal{C}_0^\infty\left(M, \mathbb{C}^4 \oplus \left(\mathbb{C}^4\right)^*\right) \otimes \mathcal{C}_0^\infty\left(M, \mathbb{C}^4 \oplus \left(\mathbb{C}^4\right)^*\right)$ can be identified in a continuous manner with a dense linear subspace of $\mathcal{C}_0^\infty\left(M \times M, \left[\mathbb{C}^4 \oplus \left(\mathbb{C}^4\right)^*\right] \otimes \left[\mathbb{C}^4 \oplus \left(\mathbb{C}^4\right)^*\right]\right)$, it is enough to establish (32) for σ of the form $\sigma = (u \oplus v) \otimes (u' \oplus v')$ for $u, u' \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty\left(M, \mathbb{C}^4\right)$ and $v, v' \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty\left(M, \left(\mathbb{C}^4\right)^*\right)$. In fact, we need not consider the full difference $W^{(2)}_{\mathrm{FP}_f} - W^{(2)}_0$ but only 'half' of it. Comparing (20) and (31), we see that

$$\left(W^{(2)}_{\mathrm{FP}_f} - W^{(2)}_0\right)\left[(u \oplus v) \otimes (u' \oplus v')\right] = \langle S v^\dagger \mid \left(Q_f^{\mathrm{sp}} - Q^{\mathrm{sp}}\right) S u' \rangle_{\mathrm{sp}} - \langle S v'^\dagger \mid \left(Q_f^{\mathrm{sp}} - Q^{\mathrm{sp}}\right) S u \rangle_{\mathrm{sp}}$$
$$u, u' \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty\left(M, \mathbb{C}^4\right),\, v, v' \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty\left(M, \left(\mathbb{C}^4\right)^*\right)$$

and as the two summands are of the same form, we conclude that ω_{FP_f} is Hadamard if and only if there exists $k \in \mathcal{C}^\infty\left(M \times M, \mathbb{C}^4 \otimes (\mathbb{C}^4)^*\right)$ such that

$$\langle Sv^\dagger \mid \left(Q_f^{\mathrm{sp}} - Q^{\mathrm{sp}}\right) Su' \rangle_{\mathrm{sp}} = \int\limits_{M\times M} k^*\left(u' \otimes v\right) \mathrm{vol}_{\mathbf{M}\times\mathbf{M}}$$

Now, if such a smooth function k exists, it is clearly smooth and square-integrable on the smooth product manifold $M' \times M'$ with respect to the product measure $\mathrm{vol}_{\mathbf{M}'\times\mathbf{M}'}$, where we have defined $M' \coloneqq (a', b') \times \Sigma$ for some choice of a' and b' with $a < a' < b' < b$. Thus k defines a Hilbert–Schmidt operator K on $L^2\left(M', \mathbb{C}^4; \mathrm{vol}_{\mathbf{M}'}\right)$ such that

$$\langle \varphi \mid K\psi \rangle_{(a',b')} \coloneqq \int\limits_{M'\times M'} k^*\left(\gamma^0\psi \otimes \varphi^\dagger\gamma^0\right) \mathrm{vol}_{\mathbf{M}'\times\mathbf{M}'}, \qquad \varphi, \psi \in L^2\left(M', \mathbb{C}^4; \mathrm{vol}_{\mathbf{M}'}\right),$$

Now, as

$$\begin{aligned}\langle Sv^\dagger \mid \left(Q_f^{\mathrm{sp}} - Q^{\mathrm{sp}}\right) Su' \rangle_{\mathrm{sp}} = &\sum_{z\in\mathbb{Z}^+} \int\limits_M \int\limits_M \left\{ v(t,x)\, \kappa_{f,z}^+(t,x)\, \kappa_{f,z}^+(t',x')^\dagger\, u'(t',x') \right\} \mathrm{vol}_{\mathbf{M}}\, \mathrm{vol}'_{\mathbf{M}} \\ &- \sum_{z\in\mathbb{Z}^+} \int\limits_M \int\limits_M \left\{ v(t,x)\, \kappa_z^+(t,x)\, \kappa_z^+(t',x')^\dagger\, u'(t',x') \right\} \mathrm{vol}_{\mathbf{M}}\, \mathrm{vol}'_{\mathbf{M}}, \end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

it follows that

$$\langle \varphi \mid K\psi \rangle_{M'} = \sum_{z\in\mathbb{Z}^+} \left(\langle \varphi \mid \kappa_{f,z}^+ \rangle_{M'} \langle \kappa_{f,z}^+ \mid \psi \rangle_{M'} - \langle \varphi \mid \kappa_z^+ \rangle_{M'} \langle \kappa_z^+ \mid \psi \rangle_{M'} \right)$$

where $\langle \cdot \mid \cdot \rangle_{M'}$ is the inner product of $L^2\left(M', \mathbb{C}^4; \mathrm{vol}_{\mathbf{M}'}\right)$ (in the first instance, this formula is obtained for $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty\left(M, \mathbb{C}^4\right)$, but one then extends by continuity). One sees that the span of $\kappa_z^\pm$ in $L^2\left(M', \mathbb{C}^4; \mathrm{vol}_{\mathbf{M}'}\right)$ is an invariant subspace for K, for each $z \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Writing the restriction of K to this subspace as K_z, it is clear that K_z has matrix form (cf. (29))

$$K_z = (b' - a') \begin{pmatrix} -\sin^2\theta_{f,z} & e^{\mathrm{i}\,\phi_{f,z}} \sin\theta_{f,z} \cos\theta_{f,z} \\ e^{-\mathrm{i}\,\phi_{f,z}} \sin\theta_{f,z} \cos\theta_{f,z} & \sin^2\theta_{f,z} \end{pmatrix}$$

with respect to the orthonormal basis $\kappa_z^+/\sqrt{b'-a'}, \kappa_z^-/\sqrt{b'-a'}$. As this matrix is trace-free, it has a pair of eigenvalues with equal magnitude but opposite signs, where the magnitude is the square-root of the minus the determinant. Thus we deduce:

Lemma 3.2. *The operator K has spectrum*

$$\sigma(K) = \{0\} \cup \{\pm(b'-a')\sin\theta_{f,z} \mid z \in \mathbb{Z}^+\}. \tag{34}$$

***Proof*:** Elementary calculation shows that K_z has eigenvalues $\pm(b'-a')\sin\theta_{f,z}$, with corresponding eigenvectors that must span the two-dimensional space spanned by $\kappa_z^\pm/\sqrt{b'-a'}$. It is clear that K annihilates the orthogonal complement of the space spanned by all such vectors. ❒

Lemma 3.3. *Let $a = -b$,[4] and take f to be the characteristic function $\chi_{(a,b)}$ of (a, b). The set of $b \in (0, \infty)$ for which $\lim_{z\to+\infty} \sin\theta_{f,z} = 0$ is of Lebesgue measure zero.*

[4]This assumption is made purely to simplify the formulae.

***Proof*:** Explicitly, we have

$$\mu_z := |\sin\theta_{f,z}| = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}\left(1 - \frac{\hat{f}(0)\,m}{\lambda_z \Xi_{f,z}}\right)}.$$

Using the formula (24) for $\Xi_{f,z}$, we easily see that $\mu_z \to 0$ only if $\lambda_z^2 |\hat{f}(2\lambda_z)|^2 \left(1 - \frac{m^2}{\lambda_z^2}\right) \to 0$, which implies $\lambda_z^2 |\hat{f}(2\lambda_z)|^2 \to 0$, since $\lim_{z\to\infty}|\lambda_z| = \infty$. Now, for $f = \chi_{(-b,b)}$, we have $\hat{f}(2\lambda_z) = \sin(2b\lambda_z)/\lambda_z$ and so $\lambda_z^2 |\hat{f}(2\lambda_z)|^2 = \sin(2b\lambda_z)^2$. It is proven in [FV12b] (after Proposition 4.1) that the set $\{b \in (0,\infty) \mid \lim_{z\to\infty} \sin(2b\lambda_z) = 0\}$ is of Lebesgue measure zero. ❒

Theorem 3.4. *Let* $\mathbf{M}$ *be with spin connections as in Subsection 2.3 and with* $a = -b$ *for* $b \in (0,\infty)$*; hence,* $\mathbf{M}$ *is a parallelisable, oriented and globally hyperbolic ultrastatic slab with compact spatial section. Then the unsoftened FP-state on the C*-completion of the self-dual CAR-algebra for the quantised free Dirac field fails to be Hadamard for all* $b \in (0,\infty)$ *outside a set of Lebesgue measure zero.*

Proof: If $b \in (0,\infty)$ is such that $\lim_{z\to+\infty} \mu_z \neq 0$, then K cannot be compact [Rud91, Thm.4.24(b)]. We conclude that the FP-state cannot be a Hadamard state for such choices of b, and Lemma 3.3 completes the proof. ❒

Note that the softened FP-states, for which f is smooth and compactly supported, avoid the contradiction in the proof of the theorem due to the Riemann-Lebesgue lemma applied to (derivatives of) f. We will now show that such states are always Hadamard.

3.2 Softened FP-states are Hadamard

The aim of this section is to prove the following result.

Theorem 3.5. *Let* $\mathbf{M}$ *be with spin connections as in Subsection 2.3; in particular,* $\mathbf{M}$ *is a parallelisable, oriented and globally hyperbolic ultrastatic slab with compact spatial section. The softened FP-states on the C*-completion of the self-dual CAR-algebra for the quantised free Dirac field on* $\mathbf{M}$ *are Hadamard.*

The proof is accomplished by the following discussion. Let f be a smooth compactly supported and nonnegative function, not identically zero, so the state ω_{FP_f} is a softened FP-state. To establish that the difference $W^{(2)}_{\mathrm{FP}_f} - W^{(2)}_0$ is smooth, we can equivalently show that $u' \otimes v \longmapsto \langle Sv^\dagger \mid \left(Q^{\mathrm{sp}}_f - Q^{\mathrm{sp}}\right) Su'\rangle_{\mathrm{sp}}$ for $u' \in \mathcal{C}^\infty_0(M,\mathbb{C}^4)$ and $v \in \mathcal{C}^\infty_0\left(M,(\mathbb{C}^4)^*\right)$ is smooth. We know that we can write

$$\langle Sv^\dagger \mid \left(Q^{\mathrm{sp}}_f - Q^{\mathrm{sp}}\right) Su'\rangle_{\mathrm{sp}} = \sum_{z\in\mathbb{Z}^+} \int_{M\times M} \sigma^*_z\,(u'\otimes v)\,\mathrm{vol}_{\mathbf{M}\times\mathbf{M}}$$

$$u' \in \mathcal{C}^\infty_0(M,\mathbb{C}^4),\ v \in \mathcal{C}^\infty_0\left(M,(\mathbb{C}^4)^*\right),$$

where $\sigma_z \in \mathcal{C}^\infty\left(M\times M, \mathbb{C}^4\otimes(\mathbb{C}^4)^*\right)$ is read off from (33):

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma_z &= \gamma^0\kappa^+_{f,z}\otimes(\kappa^+_{f,z})^\dagger\gamma^0 - \gamma^0\kappa^+_z\otimes(\kappa^+_z)^\dagger\gamma^0 \\
&= \sin^2\theta_{f,z}\left(\gamma^0\kappa^-_z\otimes(\kappa^-_z)^\dagger\gamma^0 - \gamma^0\kappa^+_z\otimes(\kappa^+_z)^\dagger\gamma^0\right) \\
&\quad + \sin\theta_{f,z}\cos\theta_{f,z}\left(e^{-\mathrm{i}\,\phi_{f,z}}\gamma^0\kappa^-_z\otimes(\kappa^+_z)^\dagger\gamma^0 + e^{\mathrm{i}\,\phi_{f,z}}\gamma^0\kappa^+_z\otimes(\kappa^-_z)^\dagger\gamma^0\right) \qquad (35)
\end{aligned}$$

To show that ω_{FP_f} is Hadamard, it is sufficient to show that the series $\sum_{z\in\mathbb{Z}^+}\sigma_z$ converges in $L^2\left(M\times M,\mathbb{C}^4\otimes(\mathbb{C}^4)^*;\mathrm{vol}_{\mathbf{M}\times\mathbf{M}}\right)$ and has a smooth representative. Now σ_z decomposes into four pairwise orthogonal terms, because the vectors $\chi_{sz}\otimes\chi_{s'z}$ $(s,s'\in\{\pm1\})$ are orthonormal in $L^2(\Sigma;\mathbb{C}^4;\mathrm{vol}_h)$ and so we compute

$$\|\sigma_z\|_2^2=(b-a)\left(2\sin^4\theta_{f,z}+2\sin^2\theta_{f,z}\cos^2\theta_{f,z}\right)=2(b-a)\sin^2\theta_{f,z}\qquad\forall\, z\in\mathbb{Z}^+. \tag{36}$$

As the σ_z are pairwise orthogonal for $z\in\mathbb{Z}^+$, their sum converges if $\sum_{z\in\mathbb{Z}^+}\|\sigma_z\|_2^2<\infty$ (cf. [HS96, Lem.21.7]), which is established by the $p=0$ case of the following lemma.

Lemma 3.6. *For each $p=0,1,2,\ldots$,*

$$\sum_{z\in\mathbb{Z}^+}\lambda_z^p\sin^2\theta_{f,z}<\infty. \tag{37}$$

***Proof*:** Note first that all terms in the series are positive. It follows from Eq. (23) that

$$2\sin^2\theta_{f,z}=\left(1-\frac{\hat f\,(0)\,m}{\lambda_z\Xi_{f,z}}\right).$$

Using the explicit form of $\Xi_{f,z}$ found in Eq. (24), we compute

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{z\in\mathbb{Z}^+}2\lambda_z^p\sin^2\theta_{f,z}&=\sum_{z\in\mathbb{Z}^+}\left(1-\frac{1}{\sqrt{|\hat g\,(2\lambda_z)|^2\,(\lambda_z^2-m^2)+1}}\right)\lambda_z^p\\&\le\sum_{z\in\mathbb{Z}^+}|\hat g\,(2\lambda_z)|^2\lambda_z^{p+2}\end{aligned}$$

where $g:=f/\left(\hat f\,(0)\,m\right)\in\mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R})$; also, recall that $\lambda_z^2-m^2\ge0$. Standard estimates (e.g., [Hör90, (8.1.1)]) yield constants $C_N>0$ for $N\in\mathbb{N}$ such that $|\hat g\,(\lambda)|\le C_N\,(1+|\lambda|)^{-N}$ for all $\lambda\in\mathbb{R}$. Hence,

$$\sum_{z\in\mathbb{Z}^+}2\lambda_z^p\sin^2\theta_{f,z}\le\sum_{z\in\mathbb{Z}^+}\frac{C_N^2}{(1+2\lambda_z)^{2N}}\lambda_z^{p+2}\le\sum_{z\in\mathbb{Z}^+}\frac{C_N^2}{2^{2N}}\lambda_z^{p+2-2N},\qquad N\in\mathbb{N}.$$

According to [LM89, Chap.III, (5.6)], we know that there exists a constant $c>0$ such that $d\,(\Lambda)\le c\Lambda^{21/2}$ holds for all $\Lambda>0$, where $d\,(\Lambda)=\dim\left(\bigoplus_{|\lambda|\le\Lambda}E_\lambda\right)$ and E_λ is the eigenspace of the smooth $\mathbb{C}^4$- resp. $(\mathbb{C}^4)^*$-valued eigenfunctions on Σ to the eigenvalue λ of H^{sp} (resp. H^{cosp}). Let $M\,(z):=\max\{w\in\mathbb{Z}'\,|\,|\lambda_w|=|\lambda_z|\}$, which exists by the finite multiplicity of the eigenvalues of H^{sp} and H^{cosp}; then by counting, we readily see $|z|\le M\,(z)=d\,(|\lambda_z|)\le c|\lambda_z|^{21/2}$ owing to the way we have ordered the countably many eigenvalues of H^{sp} and H^{cosp} (cf. the end of Subsection 2.3). It follows that $|\lambda_z|\ge k|z|^{2/21}$, where $k=c^{-2/21}$. Letting $N>\frac{p}{2}+1$,

$$\sum_{z\in\mathbb{Z}^+}2\lambda_z^p\sin^2\theta_{f,z}\le\sum_{z\in\mathbb{Z}^+}\frac{C_N^2}{2^{2N}\lambda_z^{2N-p-2}}\le\sum_{z\in\mathbb{Z}^+}\frac{C_N^2}{2^{2N}k^{2N-p-2}z^{2(2N-p-2)/21}},$$

which converges for $N>p/2+25/4$. ❒

With the L^2-convergence of $\sigma=\sum_{z\in\mathbb{Z}^+}\sigma_z$ now established, the remaining task is to show that σ has a smooth representative. This will be accomplished by using Sobolev estimates, for which it is convenient to embed M in a 4-dimensional compact Riemannian manifold X

defined as follows. Reconsider the parallelisable, 3-dimensional, oriented, connected and compact Riemannian manifold $(\Sigma, h, [\Omega])$ with which $\mathbf{M}$ and $\mathbf{N}$ are constructed and the $[\Omega]$-oriented, h-orthonormal smooth global framing (η_1, η_2, η_3). We also use $\tilde{\eta}_0$ to denote the unique smooth vector field on the circle S^1 (regarded as the unit circle of $\mathbb{C}$) satisfying $\tilde{\eta}_0(f)|_z = \frac{d}{dt} f(e^{it}z)|_{t=0}$ for all $z \in S^1$ and for all $f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(S^1, \mathbb{R})$. Then the standard Riemannian metric on S^1, g_R may be defined by $g_R(\tilde{\eta}_0, \tilde{\eta}_0) = 1$, and the orientation $[\omega]$ so that $\omega(\tilde{\eta}_0) = 1$. We define X as the smooth product manifold $X = S^1 \times \Sigma$, equipped with Riemannian metric $g_R := \mathrm{pr}_1^* g_{S^1} + \mathrm{pr}_2^* h$. The triple $(X, g_R, [\mathrm{pr}_1^* \omega \wedge \mathrm{pr}_2^* \Omega])$, constitutes 4-dimensional, oriented, connected and compact Riemannian manifold.

Now consider the smooth embedding $j : (a, b) \longrightarrow S^1$, $t \longmapsto e^{2\pi \mathrm{i}(t-a)/(b-a)}$ and the corresponding embedding $\psi : M \longrightarrow X$, $\psi = j \times \mathrm{id}_\Sigma$. Fix $\chi \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(a, b)$ and define $\tau_z \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(X \times X, \mathbb{C}^4 \otimes (\mathbb{C}^4)^*)$ by the push-forward $\tau_z = (\psi \times \psi)_*(\chi \otimes \chi)\sigma_z$. Then it is easily seen that $(\chi \otimes \chi)\sigma = \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}^+} (\chi \otimes \chi)\sigma_z$ has a smooth representative in $L^2\left(M \times M, \mathbb{C}^4 \otimes (\mathbb{C}^4)^*; \mathrm{vol}_{\mathbf{M}\times\mathbf{M}}\right)$ if and only if $\sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}^+} \tau_z$ exists in $L^2\left(X \times X, \mathbb{C}^4 \otimes (\mathbb{C}^4)^*; \mathrm{vol}_{\mathbf{M}\times\mathbf{M}}\right)$ and has a smooth representative $\tau \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(X \times X, \mathbb{C}^4 \otimes (\mathbb{C}^4)^*)$; indeed, the pull-back of τ by $\psi \times \psi$ is a smooth representative of $(\chi \otimes \chi)\sigma$. If this can be done for arbitrary χ, then σ itself has a smooth representative – this will now occupy the remainder of the section.

We introduce two first order partial differential operators on X:

$$D^{\mathrm{s}} u = \gamma^0 \left(-\tilde{\eta}_0 \otimes \mathbb{1} + \mathbb{1} \otimes H^{\mathrm{sp}}\right) \gamma^0 u, \qquad u \in \mathcal{C}^\infty\left(X, \mathbb{C}^4\right), \tag{38}$$

and

$$D^{\mathrm{c}} v = \left[\left(\tilde{\eta}_0 \otimes \mathbb{1} + \mathbb{1} \otimes H^{\mathrm{cosp}}\right) v \gamma^0\right] \gamma^0, \qquad v \in \mathcal{C}^\infty\left(X, \left(\mathbb{C}^4\right)^*\right), \tag{39}$$

where $\mathbb{1}$ denotes the identity on $\mathcal{C}^\infty(S^1, \mathbb{C})$ and we have made use of the standard continuous identifications.

Lemma 3.7. *$D^s : \mathcal{C}^\infty(X, \mathbb{C}^4) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}^\infty(X, \mathbb{C}^4)$ and $D^c : \mathcal{C}^\infty\left(X, (\mathbb{C}^4)^*\right) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}^\infty\left(X, (\mathbb{C}^4)^*\right)$ are elliptic.*

***Proof*:** We show this claim for D^{c}; the proof for D^{s} is analogous. From (39) we obtain for the principal symbol $\sigma_{D^{\mathrm{c}}}(\xi) = \xi_0 + \mathrm{i}\,\xi_i \gamma^0 \gamma^i$ (cf. Lemma 2.1); hence $\det(\xi_0 + \mathrm{i}\,\xi_i \gamma^0 \gamma^i) = (\xi_0^2 + \xi_1^2 + \xi_2^2 + \xi_3^2)^2$, which shows that $\sigma_{D^{\mathrm{c}}}(\xi)$ is an isomorphism of complex vector spaces for all $\xi \in T^*X$ unless $\xi = 0 \in T^*X_{(t,x)}$ for $(t, x) \in X$. ❒

We will need to introduce various Sobolev spaces of vector-valued functions on X and $X \times X$, each of which can be defined as the completion of the space of smooth vector-valued functions in an appropriate Sobolev norm. In fact, there are many equivalent norms that can be used: any linear connection determines a corresponding basic Sobolev norm of order $s = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ [LM89, Chap.III, §2] (and the norms induced by different connections and inner products are all equivalent). However, any elliptic partial differential operator of order s also induces an equivalent norm and therefore the same completion [LM89, Thm.III.5.2(iii)]. We may therefore define the following Sobolev spaces, for $s \in \mathbb{N}_0$: $L^2_s(X, \mathbb{C}^4; \mathrm{vol}_X)$ and $L^2_s(X, (\mathbb{C}^4)^*; \mathrm{vol}_X)$ are defined to be the completions of $\mathcal{C}^\infty(X, \mathbb{C}^4)$ and $\mathcal{C}^\infty(X, (\mathbb{C}^4)^*)$ with respect to the norms defined by

$$\|F\|^2_{X,s} := \|F\|^2_{X,0} + \left\|(D^{\mathrm{s}})^s F\right\|^2_{X,0}$$
$$\|G\|^2_{X,s} := \|G\|^2_{X,0} + \left\|(D^{\mathrm{c}})^s G\right\|^2_{X,0}$$

for $F \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(X, \mathbb{C}^4)$, $G \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(X, (\mathbb{C}^4)^*)$ (we do not distinguish notationally between these norms, as it will always be clear which is intended), where $\|\cdot\|_{X,0}$ denotes the ordinary L^2-norm. Similarly, we define $L^2_s(X \times X, \mathbb{C}^4 \otimes (\mathbb{C}^4)^*; \mathrm{vol}_{X\times X})$ to be the completion of $\mathcal{C}^\infty\left(X \times X, \mathbb{C}^4 \otimes (\mathbb{C}^4)^*\right)$ with respect to the norm

$$\|H\|^2_{X\times X,s} := \|H\|^2_{X\times X,0} + \|((D^{\mathrm{s}})^s \otimes \mathbb{1} + \mathbb{1} \otimes (D^{\mathrm{c}})^s)\, H\|^2_{X\times X,0}\,.$$

Each of these spaces has a natural Hilbert space inner product compatible with the norms just given, e.g.,

$$\langle F \mid F'\rangle_{X,s} := \langle F \mid F'\rangle_{X,0} + \langle (D^{\mathrm{s}})^s\, F \mid (D^{\mathrm{s}})^s\, F'\rangle_{X,0}$$

for $F, F' \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(X, \mathbb{C}^4)$. The choices just made ensure that the various norms interact well:

Lemma 3.8. *For $s = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$, we have the estimate*

$$\|F \otimes G\|^2_{X\times X,s} \leq 2\|F\|^2_{X,s}\|G\|^2_{X,s}$$

for all $F \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(X, \mathbb{C}^4)$, $G \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(X, (\mathbb{C}^4)^*)$.

***Proof*:** We compute

$$\begin{aligned}
\|F \otimes G\|^2_{X\times X,s} &= \|F\|^2_{X,0}\|G\|^2_{X,0} + \|((D^{\mathrm{s}})^s\, F) \otimes G + F \otimes ((D^{\mathrm{c}})^s\, G)\|^2_{X\times X,0} \\
&\leq \|F\|^2_{X,0}\|G\|^2_{X,0} + 2\|((D^{\mathrm{s}})^s\, F) \otimes G\|^2_{X\times X,0} + 2\|F \otimes ((D^{\mathrm{c}})^s\, G)\|^2_{X\times X,0} \\
&\leq \|F\|^2_{X,0}\|G\|^2_{X,0} + 2\|(D^{\mathrm{s}})^s\, F\|^2_{X,0}\|G\|^2_{X,0} + 2\|F\|^2_{X,0}\|(D^{\mathrm{c}})^s\, G\|^2_{X,0} \\
&\leq 2\|F\|^2_{X,s}\|G\|^2_{X,s},
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used the Hilbert space inequality $\|a+b\|^2 \leq 2\|a\|^2 + 2\|b\|^2$ (a consequence of the parallelogram law). ❒

Next, note that, for $u \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(S^1, \mathbb{C})$ we have

$$D^{\mathrm{s}}\left[u\gamma^0\chi_z\right] = (-\tilde{\eta}_0(u) + u\lambda_z)\,\gamma^0\chi_z, \qquad z \in \mathbb{Z}'$$

and

$$D^{\mathrm{c}}\left[u\zeta_z\gamma^0\right] = (\tilde{\eta}_0(u) + u\lambda_z)\,\zeta_z\gamma^0, \qquad z \in \mathbb{Z}'$$

and we obtain by induction

$$(D^{\mathrm{s}})^s\left[u\gamma^0\chi_z\right] = (-1)^s(P_{-\lambda_z,s}u)\gamma^0\chi_z, \qquad (D^{\mathrm{c}})^s\left[u\zeta_z\gamma^0\right] = (P_{\lambda_z,s}u)\zeta_z\gamma^0, \qquad z \in \mathbb{Z}'$$

where $P_{\lambda,s}$ ($\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, $s \in \mathbb{N}_0$) is a differential operator on $\mathcal{C}^\infty(S^1)$ of order s, depending polynomially on λ, given by

$$P_{\lambda,s}u := \sum_{k=0}^{s} \binom{s}{k} \tilde{\eta}_0^{s-k}(u)\,\lambda^k.$$

A number of consequences follow. First, we see that

$$\|u\gamma^0\chi_z\|^2_{X,s} = \|u\|^2_{S^1,0} + \|P_{-\lambda_z,s}u\|^2_{S^1,0}, \qquad \|v\zeta_z\gamma^0\|^2_{X,s} = \|v\|^2_{S^1,0} + \|P_{\lambda_z,s}v\|^2_{S^1,0}$$

and hence

$$\|(u\gamma^0\chi_z) \otimes (v\zeta_w\gamma^0)\|^2_{X\times X,s} \leq 2\left(\|u\|^2_{S^1,0} + \|P_{-\lambda_z,s}u\|^2_{S^1,0}\right)\left(\|v\|^2_{S^1,0} + \|P_{\lambda_w,s}v\|^2_{S^1,0}\right)$$

for all $u, v \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(S^1, \mathbb{C})$, $w, z \in \mathbb{Z}'$.

Let us also observe that, for $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, $s \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $Q_{\lambda,s} := |P_{\lambda,s} j_*(\chi e^{i\lambda\cdot})|^2$ is a polynomial of degree $2s$ in $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ with nonnegative coefficients in $\mathcal{C}^\infty(S^1, \mathbb{R})$, whose L^1-norm $\int_{S^1} Q_{\lambda,s} \, \mathrm{vol}_{S^1}$ is also a polynomial of the same degree. Therefore there is, for each $s \in \mathbb{N}_0$, a constant $C_s > 0$ such that

$$\|j_*(\chi e^{i\lambda\cdot})\|^2_{S^1,0} + \|P_{\lambda,s} j_*(\chi e^{i\lambda\cdot})\|^2_{S^1,0} \leq C_s(1 + |\lambda|^{2s})$$

for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. From this, it follows that both $\|\psi_* \chi \gamma^0 \kappa_z^\pm\|^2_{X,s}$ and $\|\psi_* \chi \kappa_z^{\pm\dagger} \gamma^0\|^2_{X,s}$ are bounded above by $C_s(1 + |\lambda_z|)^{2s}$ for all $z \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and hence

$$\|(\psi \times \psi)_*(\chi\gamma^0\kappa_z^\pm) \otimes (\chi \kappa_z^{\pm\dagger}\gamma^0)\|^2_{X\times X,s} \leq 2C_s^2(1 + \lambda_z^{2s})^2$$

for arbitrary and independent choices of the signs on the left-hand side. The function τ_z is a linear combination of four such terms, which are mutually orthogonal in $L^2_s(X \times X, \mathbb{C}^4 \otimes (\mathbb{C}^4)^* ; \mathrm{vol}_{X\times X})$. Thus (cf. the computation (36))

$$\|\tau_z\|^2_{X\times X,s} \leq 4C_s^2(1 + \lambda_z^{2s})^2 \sin^2\theta_{f,z}, \qquad z \in \mathbb{Z}^+.$$

Owing to Lemma 3.6, we may conclude, for each $s = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$, that $\sum_{z\in\mathbb{Z}^+} \|\tau_z\|^2_{X\times X,s} < \infty$, because the τ_z are easily seen to be pairwise orthogonal in $L^2_s(X \times X, \mathbb{C}^4 \otimes (\mathbb{C}^4)^* ; \mathrm{vol}_{X\times X})$. Accordingly, $\tau := \sum_{z\in\mathbb{Z}^+} \tau_z$ not only exists in $L^2(X \times X, \mathbb{C}^4 \otimes (\mathbb{C}^4)^* ; \mathrm{vol}_{X\times X})$, but also has a smooth representative as a result of the Sobolev embedding theorem [LM89, Thm.III.5.2(iii)]. This concludes the proof of Theorem 3.5, as $\chi \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(a, b)$ was arbitrary in the discussion above.

3.3 Quantum fluctuations in the FP-state

In this subsection use FP-states to define Wick polynomials by normal ordering in Hilbert space representations, and then study the fluctuations of such Wick polynomials in the FP-state. We let $\mathbf{M}$ again be an oriented and globally hyperbolic ultrastatic slab of dimension 4 with compact spatial section Σ and spin connections ∇^{sp} and ∇^{cosp} as in Section 2.3. Let $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ be nonnegative and consider the GNS representation of the corresponding FP-state ω_{FP_f}. The GNS Hilbert space is a Fock space generated by creation and annihilation operators $b_z^\dagger, d_z^\dagger, b_z$ and d_z ($z \in \mathbb{Z}^+$) obeying the anticommutation relations $\{b_w, b_z^\dagger\} = \{d_w, d_z^\dagger\} = \delta_{wz}\mathbb{1}$ and all other anticommutators among these operators vanishing, and the GNS vector is the Fock vacuum vector Ω. The quantum Dirac spinor field and its Dirac adjoint take the form

$$\Psi[v] = \sum_{z\in\mathbb{Z}^+} \int_M \left(v(t,x)\, \kappa^+_{f,z}(t,x)\, b_z + v(t,x)\, \kappa^-_{f,z}(t,x)\, d_z^\dagger \right) \mathrm{vol}_{\mathbf{M}}, \qquad v \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(X, (\mathbb{C}^4)^*), \tag{40}$$

and

$$\Psi^\dagger[u] = \sum_{z\in\mathbb{Z}^+} \int_M \left(\kappa^{+\dagger}_{f,z}(t,x)\, u(t,x)\, b_z^\dagger + \kappa^{-\dagger}_{f,z}(t,x)\, u(t,x)\, d_z \right) \mathrm{vol}_{\mathbf{M}}, \qquad v \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(X, \mathbb{C}^4). \tag{41}$$

As a consistency check, it is easily verified that $\langle \Omega \mid (\Psi[v]\Psi^\dagger[u'] + \Psi^\dagger[u]\Psi[v'])\,\Omega\rangle = W^{(2)}_{\mathrm{FP}_f}[(u \oplus v) \otimes (u' \oplus v')]$ for all $u, u' \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(X, \mathbb{C}^4)$ and for all $v, v' \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(X, (\mathbb{C}^4)^*)$. For

comparison, in a Fock space representation where the Fock vacuum Ω_0 represents the reference state ω_0, i.e., the ground state, the quantum Dirac spinor field and the quantum Dirac cospinor field are given by the above expressions but with $\kappa^{\pm}_{f,z}$ replaced by $\kappa^{\pm}_{z}$ respectively, and yield the ground state two-point function $W_0^{(2)}$ in the analogous fashion. (If one replaces $\kappa^{\pm}_{f,z}$ replaced by $\kappa^{\mp}_{z}$, one obtains a 'ceiling state'.)

With normal ordering defined in the usual way, we define the energy density operator

$$\varrho := \frac{i}{2}\left(:\Psi^\dagger\gamma^0\dot{\Psi} - \dot{\Psi}^\dagger\gamma^0\Psi:\right),$$

which has vanishing expectation value in the FP-state vector Ω, by the definition of normal ordering. We compute the fluctuations of ϱ in Ω, starting with the observation that

$$\varrho(h\otimes g)\,\Omega = \frac{i}{2}\sum_{w,z\in\mathbb{Z}^+}\int_M \left(\kappa^{+*}_{f,w}(t,x)\,\dot{\kappa}^{-}_{f,z}(t,x) - \dot{\kappa}^{+*}_{f,w}(t,x)\,\kappa^{-}_{f,z}(t,x)\right) h(t)\,g(x)\,\mathrm{vol}_{\mathbf{M}}\, b^\dagger_w d^\dagger_z\Omega$$

$$h\in\mathcal{C}^\infty_0(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{C}),\ g\in\mathcal{C}^\infty(\Sigma,\mathbb{C}). \tag{42}$$

Taking g to be the constant unit function on Σ, we can perform the integration over Σ and make use of the orthonormality relations of the χ_z. Noting, for example, that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{i}{2}\int_M \kappa^{+*}_{f,w}(t,x)\,\dot{\kappa}^{-}_{f,z}(t,x)\,h(t)\,\mathrm{vol}_{\mathbf{M}} &= -\frac{\lambda_z}{2}\int_M \left(\cos\theta_{f,w}\kappa^{+*}_w(t,x) + e^{i\phi_{f,w}}\sin\theta_{f,w}\kappa^{-*}_w(t,x)\right)\\ &\quad\times\left(\cos\theta_{f,z}\kappa^{-}_z(t,x) + e^{i\phi_{f,z}}\sin\theta_{f,z}\kappa^{+}_z(t,x)\right)\mathrm{vol}_{\mathbf{M}}\\ &= -\frac{\lambda_z}{2}e^{i\phi_{f,z}}\cos\theta_{f,w}\sin\theta_{f,z}\delta_{wz} - \frac{\lambda_z}{2}e^{i\phi_{f,w}}\cos\theta_{f,z}\sin\theta_{f,w}\delta_{wz}\\ &= -\lambda_w e^{i\phi_{f,w}}\cos\theta_{f,w}\sin\theta_{f,w}\delta_{wz},\end{aligned}$$

we obtain for (42):

$$\varrho(h\otimes 1)\,\Omega = -\hat{h}(0)\sum_{w\in\mathbb{Z}^+}\lambda_w e^{-i\phi_{f,w}}\sin 2\theta_{f,w}\, b^\dagger_w d^\dagger_w\Omega \qquad h\in\mathcal{C}^\infty_0(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{C}).$$

The operator $\varrho(h\otimes 1)$ is of course the total energy, up to an overall constant; the fact that the right-hand side depends on h only via $\hat{h}(0)$ shows that the energy is conserved (note that Ω is not, in general, invariant under the time evolution). The squared fluctuation of $\varrho(h\otimes 1)$ in the FP-state ω_{FP_f} is

$$\|\varrho(h\otimes 1)\,\Omega\|^2 = |\hat{h}(0)|^2\sum_{w\in\mathbb{Z}^+}\lambda_w^2\sin^2 2\theta_{f,w} \tag{43}$$

(recall that $\rho(h\otimes 1)$ has vanishing expectation value in state Ω). Evidently the right-hand side of (43) can only converge if $\sin^2 2\theta_{f,w}\to 0$ as $w\to\infty$ (faster than λ_w^{-2}) and, hence, only if $\sin\theta_{f,w}\to 0$. In the case of the unsoftened FP-state, Lemma 3.3 yields:

Theorem 3.9. *Let $\boldsymbol{M}$ be an oriented and globally hyperbolic ultrastatic slab of dimension 4 with compact spatial section Σ, $a=-b$ for $b\in(0,\infty)$ and spin connections ∇^{sp} and ∇^{cosp} as in Section 2.3. Then the set of the $b\in(0,\infty)$ for which the normal ordered energy density ϱ has finite quantum fluctuations in the corresponding (i.e., unsoftened) FP-state is of Lebesgue measure zero.*

We make no statement for the case where $b \in (0, \infty)$ is taken from the set of Lebesgue measure zero. In the case of the softened FP-states, however, the fluctuations are finite, due to the estimate $\sin^2 2\theta \leq 4 \sin^2 \theta$, combined with the $p = 2$ case of Lemma 3.6.

The calculation above is easily extended to deal with other Wick products. For example, it is easily seen that if ϱ is replaced by

$$\varrho^{(p)} := \frac{i}{2} \left({:}\Psi^\dagger \gamma^0 \partial_t^{2p-1} \Psi - (\partial_t^{2p-1} \Psi)^\dagger \gamma^0 \Psi {:} \right),$$

for $p \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, then the squared fluctuation of $\varrho^{(p)}(h \otimes 1)$ in the state ω_{FP_f} is

$$\| \varrho^{(p)}(h \otimes 1) \, \Omega \|^2 = |\hat{h}(0)|^2 \sum_{w \in \mathbb{Z}^+} \lambda_w^{4p-2} \sin^2 2\theta_{f,w}. \tag{44}$$

If f is smooth and compactly supported in (a, b), then the series converges for all $p \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ by Lemma 3.6, and the fluctuations are finite. This is to be expected, in the light of Theorem 3.5: any product of smeared Wick polynomials defined relative to Hadamard states has a finite expectation value in a Hadamard state – a result going back to of Brunetti, Fredenhagen and Köhler [BFK96] in the scalar case, and (modulo inessential differences) to Dappiaggi, Hack and Pinamonti [DHP09] in the Dirac case. However, we may also reverse the reasoning: suppose all Wick polynomials have finite fluctuations in ω_{FP_f}, for some nonnegative $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$. Then the series on the right-hand side of (44) converge for all $p \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. By the remark above Lemma 3.1, we have $\cos^2 \theta_{f,z} > 1/2$ and hence $\sin^2 2\theta_{f,z} \geq 2 \sin^2 \theta_{f,z}$. Consequently $\sum_{w \in \mathbb{Z}^+} \lambda_w^{4p-2} \sin^2 \theta_{f,w}$ converges for each $p \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and so the statement of Lemma 3.6 is valid for our choice of f (whether or not it is actually smooth and compactly supported). Inspecting the proof of Theorem 3.5, we see that it requires no other property of f, and so its statement also holds. Hence we have proved:

Theorem 3.10. *Let $\boldsymbol{M}$ be an oriented and globally hyperbolic ultrastatic slab of dimension 4 with compact spatial section Σ, $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ with $a < b$, and spin connections ∇^{sp} and ∇^{cosp} as in Section 2.3. If f is any integrable nonnegative function such that the corresponding FP-state ω_{FP_f} has finite fluctuations for all its Wick polynomials, then ω_{FP_f} is Hadamard.*

The above result is an analogue of a result proved as [FV13, Thm 2.3] for the scalar field, which asserts that general pure quasifree states with finite fluctuations for their associated Wick polynomials are Hadamard. Here, we have proved the same statement for FP-states. More generally, we conjecture that any pure quasifree state of the Dirac field on an ultrastatic slab that has finite fluctuations for its Wick polynomials is either Hadamard or *anti-Hadamard*. Here, a slight digression is needed: Recall that a Hadamard state may be defined in terms of the wave-front set [Hör90] of its two-point function, which gives an equivalent formulation to the original definition using series expansions [KW91]. Using a nonstandard Fourier convention (in line with that used elsewhere in this paper and in [Rad96]) the Hadamard condition may be simplified to the requirement that $\mathrm{WF}(W^{(2)}) \subset \mathcal{N}^+ \times \mathcal{N}^-$, where $\mathcal{N}^{+/-}$ is the bundle of future/past-directed null covectors on the spacetime $\mathbf{M}$.[5] By contrast, we say that the state is anti-Hadamard if $\mathrm{WF}(W^{(2)}) \subset \mathcal{N}^- \times \mathcal{N}^+$. Thus, while Hadamard two-point functions are positive frequency in the first slot and negative frequency in the second, the situation is reversed for anti-Hadamard states.

[5] For equivalence of this condition with the original definition [KW91] in the scalar case, see [Rad96] and [SV01] for a treatment encompassing fermionic fields as well.

The scalar field does not admit anti-Hadamard states, because the wave-front set condition turns out to be incompatible with the positivity of states, and this probably accounts for the lack of discussion of such states in the literature. However, anti-Hadamard states do exist for the Dirac field – the simplest example is the ceiling state[6] on the full ultrastatic spacetime, which corresponds to replacing the mode functions $\kappa^{\pm}_{f,z}$ by $\kappa^{\mp}_{z}$ in (40). Anti-Hadamard states are excluded from the conclusion of Theorem 3.10 due to our assumption that f is nonnegative; reversing this choice, the resulting softened FP-states would be anti-Hadamard by the analogue of Theorem 3.5, using the ceiling state as the reference anti-Hadamard state.

While our conjecture for Dirac fields does not single out the Hadamard class as cleanly as is the case for the scalar field, it would still show that the finite fluctuation condition is linked to the ultraviolet behaviour of the two-point function, expressed via the wave-front set. Demanding additionally that the renormalised energy density obeys quantum energy inequalities (QEIs) [FV02] would then select the Hadamard class, because anti-Hadamard states obey reversed QEIs in which averaged energy densities are bounded from above.

4 Summary

We have shown how to obtain a gauge invariant, pure and quasifree state, the FP-state, on the C^*-completion of the self-dual CAR-algebra for the quantised free Dirac field following the covariant description in [FR14a] of the fermionic projector. In our calculations, we have restricted ourselves to oriented globally hyperbolic ultrastatic slabs with compact spatial section and parameters $m > 0$. We have shown that the FP-state suffers from the same shortcomings as the SJ-state for the quantised free real scalar field, that is, it can almost always be ruled out that the FP-state is a Hadamard state. Our arguments here are remarkably similar in spirit to those used in the case of the SJ-state [FV12b]. In view of this, the FP-state is as 'badly' behaved (regarding the Hadamard property) as the SJ-state.

However, as we have also shown, the fermionic projector description is still valuable since a Hadamard state can always be obtained by a modification in the style of [BF14]. In this way, the covariant character of the fermionic projector description is spoiled since a smooth cut-off function is introduced. It could perhaps be said that the FP-state is better behaved than the SJ-state because the smooth cut-off function appears only once in our construction, whereas it appears twice in the the Brum-Fredenhagen modification of the SJ-state (compare [BF14, (19)] with our (21)). Like [BF14], we have not investigated the detailed physical interpretation of the modified FP-state and leave this to a further analysis. However, they are of interest, at least as a class of Hadamard states constructed without explicit reference to the ultrastatic ground state or using the technique of spacetime deformation. Moreover, within the class of FP-states, there is the same tight link between finiteness of fluctuations for Wick polynomials and the Hadamard condition as obtains for pure quasifree states of the scalar field established in [FV13].

It would also be very interesting to see how our calculations and results can be carried over to globally hyperbolic spacetimes of finite lifetime that are not ultrastatic slabs or have non-compact spatial section. Similarly, reference [FR14b] discusses the fermionic projector for spacetimes of infinite lifetime and it would be interesting to extract states from that description and investigate their properties, though this would involve a much

[6] See [BR97, Def. 5.3.18, Example 5.3.20] for general results concerning ceiling states on CAR algebras.

more complicated analysis.

Acknowledgement B.L. gratefully acknowledges the financial support of the Department of Mathematics, University of York, by the award of a *Teaching Studentship*. C.J.F. thanks Bernard Kay, Rainer Verch and Felix Finster for useful discussions and correspondence.

References

[AAS12] N. Afshordi, S. Aslanbeigi, and R.D. Sorkin, "A distinguished vacuum state for a quantum field in a curved spacetime: formalism, features, and cosmology", *J. High Energ. Phys.* **2012** (8) (2012), DOI: 10.1007/JHEP08(2012)137, arXiv:1205.1296v4 [hep-th].

[Ara70] H. Araki, "On Quasifree States of CAR and Bogoliubov Automorphisms", *Publ. RIMS Kyoto Univ.* **6** (3) (1970/71): 385–442, DOI: 10.2977/prims/1195193913.

[BB03] P. Blanchard and E. Brüning, *Mathematical Methods in Physics: Distributions, Hilbert Space Operators, and Variational Methods*, Birkhäuser Boston, 2003.

[BEE96] J.K. Beem, P.E. Ehrlich, and K.L. Easley, *Global Lorentzian Geometry*, 2nd ed., Marcel Dekker, 1996.

[BF14] M. Brum and K. Fredenhagen, " "Vacuum-like" Hadamard states for quantum fields on curved spacetimes", *Class. Quantum Grav.* **31** (2) (2014), DOI: 10.1088/0264-9381/31/2/025024, arXiv:1307.0482v2 [gr-qc].

[BFK96] R. Brunetti, K. Fredenhagen, and M. Köhler, "The microlocal spectrum condition and Wick polynomials of free fields on curved spacetimes", *Comm. Math. Phys.* **180** (3) (1996): 633–652, ISSN: 0010-3616, URL: http://projecteuclid.org/euclid.cmp/1104287458.

[BG12] C. Bär and N. Ginoux, "Classical and Quantum Fields on Lorentzian Manifolds", *Global Differential Geometry*, ed. by C. Bär, J. Lohkamp, and M. Schwarz, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, 2012: 359–400, DOI: 10.1007/978-3-642-22842-1_12, arXiv:1104.1158v2 [math-ph].

[BGP07] C. Bär, N. Ginoux, and F. Pfäffle, *Wave Equations on Lorentzian Manifolds and Quantization*, European Mathematical Society Publishing House, 2007, arXiv:0806.1036v1 [math.DG].

[BLT75] N.N. Bogolubov, A.A. Logunov, and I.T. Todorov, *Introduction to Axiomatic Quantum Field Theory*, W.A. Benjamin, 1975.

[BR97] Ola Bratteli and Derek W. Robinson, *Operator algebras and quantum statistical mechanics. 2*, Second, Texts and Monographs in Physics, Equilibrium states. Models in quantum statistical mechanics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1997: xiv+519, ISBN: 3-540-61443-5, DOI: 10.1007/978-3-662-03444-6, URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-662-03444-6.

[BS07] A.N. Bernal and M. Sánchez, "Globally hyperbolic spacetimes can be defined as 'causal' instead of 'strongly causal'", *Class. Quantum Grav.* **24** (3) (2007): 745–749, DOI: 10.1088/0264-9381/24/3/N01, arXiv:gr-qc/0611138v1.

[DH06] C. D'Antoni and S. Hollands, "Nuclearity, Local Quasiequivalence and Split Property for Dirac Quantum Fields in Curved Spacetime", *Commun. Math. Phys.* **261** (1) (2006): 133–159, DOI: 10.1007/s00220-005-1398-2, arXiv:math-ph/0106028v3.

[DHP09] Claudio Dappiaggi, Thomas-Paul Hack, and Nicola Pinamonti, "The extended algebra of observables for Dirac fields and the trace anomaly of their stress-energy tensor", *Rev. Math. Phys.* **21** (10) (2009): 1241–1312, ISSN: 0129-055X, DOI: 10.1142/S0129055X09003864, URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1142/S0129055X09003864.

[Dim82] J. Dimock, "Dirac Quantum Fields on a Manifold", *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **269** (1) (1982): 133–147, DOI: 10.1090/S0002-9947-1982-0637032-8.

[FR14a] F. Finster and M. Reintjes, "A Non-Perturbative Construction of the Fermionic Projector on Globally Hyperbolic Manifolds I - Space-Times of Finite Lifetime" (2014), arXiv:1301.5420v3 [math.ph].

[FR14b] F. Finster and M. Reintjes, "A Non-Perturbative Construction of the Fermionic Projector on Globally Hyperbolic Manifolds II - Space-Times of Infinite Lifetime" (2014), arXiv:1312.7209v2 [math.ph].

[FV02] C.J. Fewster and R. Verch, "A Quantum Weak Energy Inequality for Dirac Fields in Curved Spacetime", *Commun. Math. Phys* **225** (2) (2002): 331–359, DOI: 10.1007/s002200100584, arXiv:math-ph/0105027v1.

[FV12a] C.J. Fewster and R. Verch, "Dynamical Locality and Covariance: What Makes a Physical Theory the Same in all Spacetimes?", *Ann. Henri Poincaré* **13** (7) (2012): 1613–1674, DOI: 10.1007/s00023-012-0165-0, arXiv:1106.4785v3 [math-ph].

[FV12b] C.J. Fewster and R. Verch, "On a recent construction of 'vacuum-like' quantum field states in curved spacetime", *Class. Quantum Grav.* **29** (20) (2012), DOI: 10.1088/0264-9381/29/20/205017, arXiv:1206.1562v2 [math-ph].

[FV13] C.J. Fewster and R. Verch, "The Necessity of the Hadamard Condition" (2013), arXiv:1307.5242v2 [gr-qc].

[Fer13] M. Ferguson, "Aspects of Dynamical Locality and Locally Covariant Canonical Quantization", PhD thesis, University of York, 2013, URL: http://etheses.whiterose.ac.uk/id/eprint/4529.

[Ger68] R. Geroch, "Spinor Structure of Space-Times in General Relativity. I", *J. Math. Phys* **9** (11) (1968): 1739–1744, DOI: 10.1063/1.1664507.

[Ger70] R. Geroch, "Spinor Structure of Space-Times in General Relativity. II", *J. Math. Phys* **11** (1) (1970): 343–348, DOI: 10.1063/1.1665067.

[HE73] S.W. Hawing and G.F.R. Ellis, *The large scale structure of space-time*, Cambridge University Press, 1973.

[HS96] F. Hirzebruch and W. Scharlau, *Einführung in die Funktionalanalysis*, Spektrum Akademischer Verlag Heidelberg Berlin Oxford, 1996.

[Hör90] L. Hörmander, *The Analysis of Linear Partial Differential Operators I: Distribution Theory and Fourier Analysis*, 2nd ed., Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, 1990.

[Ish78] C.J. Isham, "Spinor Fields in Four Dimensional Space-Time", *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A.* **364** (1719) (1978): 591–599, DOI: 10.1098/rspa.1978.0219.

[KW91] B. Kay and R.M. Wald, "Theorems on the Uniqueness and Thermal Properties of Stationary, Nonsingular, Quasifree States on Space-Times with a Bifurcate Killing Horizon", *Phys. Rep.* **207** (2) (1991): 49–136, DOI: 10.1016/0370-1573(91)90015-E.

[Kay78] B. Kay, "Linear Spin-Zero Quantum Fields in External Gravitational and Scalar Fields I. A One Particle Structure for the Stationary Case", *Commun. Math. Phys.* **62** (1) (1978): 55–70, DOI: 10.1007/BF01940330.

[LM89] H.B. Lawson and M.-L. Michelsohn, *Spin Geometry*, Princeton University Press, 1989.

[Lee03] J.M. Lee, *Introduction to Smooth Manifolds*, Springer-Verlag New York, 2003.

[Müh11] R. Mühlhoff, "Cauchy problem and Green's functions for first order differential operators and algebraic quantization", *J. Math. Phys.* **52** (2) (2011), DOI: 10.1063/1.3530846, arXiv:1001.4091v2 [math.ph].

[O'N83] B. O'Neill, *Semi-Riemannian Geometry with Applications to Relativity*, Academic Press, 1983.

[PS70] R.T. Powers and E. Størmer, "Free states of the canonical anticommutation relations", *Commun. Math. Phys.* **16** (1) (1970): 1–33, DOI: 10.1007/BF01645492.

[Pen72] R. Penrose, *Techniques of Differential Topology in Relativity*, Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics, 1972.

[Rad96] M.J. Radzikowski, "Micro-Local Approach to the Hadamard Condition in Quantum Field Theory on Curved Space-Time", *Commun. Math. Phys* **179** (3) (1996): 529–553, DOI: 10.1007/BF02100096.

[Rud91] W. Rudin, *Functional Analysis*, 2nd ed., McGraw-Hill, 1991.

[SV00] H. Sahlmann and R. Verch, "Passivity and Microlocal Spectrum Condition", *Commun. Math. Phys.* **214** (3) (2000): 705–731, DOI: 10.1007/s002200000297, arXiv:math-ph/0002021v2.

[SV01] H. Sahlmann and R. Verch, "Microlocal spectrum condition and Hadamard form for vector-valued quantum fields in curved spacetimes", *Rev. Math. Phys.* **13** (10) (2001): 1203–1246, DOI: 10.1142/S0129055X10003990, arXiv:math-ph/0008029v2.

[San08] K. Sanders, "Aspects of locally covariant quantum field theory", PhD thesis, University of York, 2008, arXiv:0809.4828v1 [math-ph].

[San10] K. Sanders, "The locally covariant Dirac field", *Rev. Math. Phys.* **22** (4) (2010): 381–430, DOI: 10.1142/S0129055X10003990, arXiv:0911.1304v2 [math-ph].

[Sti35] E. Stiefel, "Richtungsfelder und Fernparallelismus in n-dimensionalen Mannigfaltigkeiten", *Comment. Math. Helv.* **8** (1) (1935/36): 305–353, DOI: 10.1007/BF01199559.

[Wal12] S. Waldmann, *Geometric Wave Equations*, 2012, arXiv:1208.4706v1 [math.DG].